

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

CIPLA LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-00807  
Patent 8,168,620 B2

---

Before JAMES T. MOORE, ZHENYU YANG, and  
KRISTI L. R. SAWERT, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

SAWERT, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Oral Hearing  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.70*

Petitioner and Patent Owner have each requested an oral hearing for the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Papers 39 (Petitioner), 38 (Patent Owner). The requests are *granted*. Oral arguments will commence at 2:00 PM Eastern Time on Wednesday, May 16, 2018, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Each side will have forty-five (45) minutes to present arguments. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. Patent Owner will then respond to Petitioner's arguments. Thereafter, to the extent that Petitioner reserves time, Petitioner will then have an opportunity to rebut Patent Owner's presentation.

The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. The Board will provide a court reporter, and the transcript shall constitute the official record of the hearing.

Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven (7) business days before the hearing. The parties also shall provide the demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least *two* business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov). Despite the requirement in § 42.70(b) for parties to file demonstratives, the parties shall *not* file any demonstrative exhibits in this case without prior authorization from the Board. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if such objections cannot be resolved the parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. The objections should identify with particularity which portions of the demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection, include a copy of the objected-to portions, and include a one-sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived.

The parties are also reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If any lead counsel will not be in attendance at hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than two (2) business days prior to the hearing to discuss the matter.

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual

IPR2017-00807  
Patent 8,168,620 B2

equipment are to be made five (5) days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to [Trials@uspto.gov](mailto:Trials@uspto.gov), any requests not sent specifically to that email address will not be considered timely. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

PETITIONER:

Michael R. Houston  
Joseph P. Meara  
James P. McParland  
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
[mhouston@foley.com](mailto:mhouston@foley.com)  
[jmeara-pgp@foley.com](mailto:jmeara-pgp@foley.com)  
[jmcparland@foley.com](mailto:jmcparland@foley.com)

Tyler C. Liu  
ARGENTUM PHARMACEUTICALS LLC  
[tliu@agpharm.com](mailto:tliu@agpharm.com)

PATENT OWNER:

Dennies Varughese  
Deborah A. Sterling  
Adam C. LaRock  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.  
[dvarughe-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:dvarughe-PTAB@skgf.com)  
[dsterlin-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:dsterlin-PTAB@skgf.com)  
[alarock-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:alarock-PTAB@skgf.com)