Paper 7

Entered: October 2, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, LTD., SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES, INC., and SUN PHARMA GLOBAL FZE, Petitioner,

v.

NOVARTIS AG, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01929 Patent US 9,187,405 B2

Before LORA M. GREEN, CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, and ROBERT A. POLLOCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

POLLOCK, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION

Instituting *Inter Partes* Review and Granting Motion for Joinder 37 C.F.R. § 42.108; 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)



I. INTRODUCTION

Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd., Sun Pharmaceutical Industries, Inc., and Sun Pharma Global FZE (collectively, "Sun") filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–6 of U.S. Patent No. 9,187,405 B2 ("the '405 patent"). Paper 2 ("Pet."). Along with the Petition, Sun filed a Motion for Joinder to join this proceeding with IPR2017-00854. Paper 3 ("Mot."). Sun filed its Petition and Motion for Joinder on August 16, 2017, within one month after we instituted trial in IPR2017-00854. Patent Owner, Novartis AG, ("Novartis") has not filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition, and any such response is due November 25, 2017.

As explained further below, we institute trial on the same grounds as instituted in IPR2017-00854 and grant Sun's Motion for Joinder.

II. DISCUSSION

In IPR2017-00854, Apotex, Inc. and Apotex Corp. (collectively, "Apotex") challenged claims 1–6 of the '405 Patent on the following grounds:

Ground	Claims	References	Basis
1	1–6	Kovarik ¹ and Thomson	§ 103

¹ Kovarik and Appel-Dingemanse, WO 2006/058316, published June 1, 2006.



Ground	Claims	References	Basis
2	1–6	Chiba, ² Kappos 2005, ³ and Budde ⁴	§ 103
3	1–6	Kappos 2010 ⁵	§ 102

After considering the Petition and Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, we instituted trial in IPR2017-00854 on each of the three asserted grounds. IPR2017-00854, Paper 11, 27. On August 9, 2017, we instituted *inter partes* review on those same grounds in IPR2017-01550 and granted Petitioner Argentum Pharmaceuticals LLC's motion for joinder with IPR2017-00854. IPR2017-00854, Paper 10, 5. On September 11, 2017, we similarly instituted *inter partes* review on those same grounds in IPR2017-01946 and granted Petitioner Actavis Elizabeth LLC and Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.'s (collectively, "Teva's") motion for joinder with IPR2017-00854. IPR2017-00854, Paper 23, 5.

As with Argentum's and Teva's Petitions, Sun's Petition is substantively identical to Apotex's Petition, challenging the same claims based on the same art and the same grounds. *Compare* IPR2017-01929, Paper 2, *with* IPR2017-00854, Paper 2. For the same reasons stated in our Decision on Institution in IPR2017-00854, we institute trial in this proceeding on the same three grounds.

⁵ Kappos et al., "A Placebo-Controlled Trial of Oral Fingolimod in Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis," 362(5) N. ENGL. J. MED. 387–401.



² Chiba et al., US 6,004,565, issued Dec. 21, 1999. Ex. 1006.

³ Kappos et al., "FTY720 in Relapsing MS: Results of a Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial with a Novel Oral Immunomodulator," 252 (Suppl 2) J. NEUROLOGY Abstract O141 (2005).

⁴ Budde, et al., "First Human Trial of FTY720, a Novel Immunomodulator, in Stable Renal Transplant Patients," 13 J. Am. Soc. Nephrology 1073-1083 (2002).

Having determined that institution is appropriate, we now turn to Sun's Motion for Joinder. 35 U.S.C. § 315(c). Section 315(c) provides, in relevant part, that "[i]f the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311." *Id.* When determining whether to grant a motion for joinder we consider factors such as timing and impact of joinder on the trial schedule, cost, discovery, and potential simplification of briefing. *Kyocera Corp. v. SoftView, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00004, slip op. at 4 (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013) (Paper 15).

Sun's motion for joinder is unopposed by all concerned parties. The time for Novartis to oppose the motion has expired. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.25(a)(1)). Further, Sun informs us that Apotex, Argentum, and Actavis do not oppose the motion. *See* Mot. 2 & n.1; Ex. 3001 (email communication from Samuel S. Park, dated September 29, 2017).

Under the circumstances of this case, we determine that joinder is appropriate. Sun raises no new grounds of unpatentability from IPR2017-00854 and contends that there will be no impact on the trial schedule previously set in that case. Mot. 8; *see* IPR2017-00854, Paper 12. As Sun notes, the Petition in IPR2017-00854 is substantively identical to the grounds, analysis, exhibits, 6 and expert declarations relied on in the instant proceeding. Mot. 2, 5, 6. Sun agrees to "coordinate with Apotex regarding questioning at depositions and at the oral hearing," and suggests that

⁶ Sun notes that, as compared to the Apotex Petition, it "added one additional exhibit (EX1041) which is a copy of the Federal Circuit Decision of April 12, 2017 affirming the Final Written Decision in IPR2014-00784, an IPR related to the present proceeding." Mot., 5–6.



"[b]ecause the Sun IPR is substantively identical to the Apotex IPR, the Board may adopt procedures similar to those used in other cases to simplify briefing and discovery during trial." Mot. 9. With respect to such procedures, "Sun agrees to the same conditions as set forth in Paper 23 of IPR2017-00854." Ex. 3001.

In view of the foregoing, we find that joinder based upon the conditions stated in Sun's Motion for Joinder will have little or no impact on the timing, cost, or presentation of the trial on the instituted grounds. Moreover, discovery and briefing will be simplified if the proceedings are joined. Thus, without opposition to the Motion for Joinder from any of the parties, the Motion is granted, subject to the same conditions previously set forth with respect to the Petitioners joined in IPR2017-00854, Paper 23,

III. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that *inter partes* review is instituted in IPR2017-01929 on the following grounds:

Claims 1–6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over the combination of Kovarik and Thomson;

Claims 1–6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as unpatentable over the combination of Chiba, Kappos 2005, and Budde;

Claims 1–6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as anticipated by Kappos 2010.

FURTHER ORDERED that Sun's Motion for Joinder with IPR2017-00854 is granted, subject to the same conditions previously set forth with respect to the Petitioners joined in IPR2017-00854, Paper 23;



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

