

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

STINGRAY DIGITAL GROUP INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MUSIC CHOICE,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-00888¹ (Patent 7,320,025 B1)
Case IPR2017-01191 (Patent 9,351,045 B1)
Case IPR2017-01192 (Patent 8,769,602 B1)
Case IPR2017-01450 (Patent 9,414,121 B1)

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Authorizing Admission *Pro Hac Vice*
37 C.F.R § 42.10

Patent Owner moves for admission *pro hac vice* of Martin J. Black in each of the above-referenced proceedings (collectively, “Motions”).

¹ We exercise our discretion to issue one order to be entered in above-referenced proceedings. The Parties are not authorized to use this style heading without prior Board approval.

IPR2017-00888 (Patent 7,320,025 B1)
IPR2017-01191 (Patent 9,351,045 B1)
IPR2017-01192 (Patent 8,769,602 B1)
IPR2017-01450 (Patent 9,414,121 B1)

Paper 31.² Patent Owner concurrently filed Declarations of Martin J. Black in support of the Motions.³ Ex. 2108. Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 31, 4. After considering Patent Owner's Motions and supporting Declarations, it is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Martin J. Black in each of the above-referenced proceedings are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that, prior to the Oral Hearing scheduled for June 19, 2018, Patent Owner must submit Powers of Attorney in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Martin J. Black as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Martin J. Black is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel only in the above-referenced proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to designate a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Martin J. Black shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for

² For purposes of expediency, we refer to papers and exhibits filed in IPR2017-00888. Patent Owner filed similar Motions in IPR2017-01191 (Paper 32), IPR2017-01192 (Paper 22), and IPR2017-01450 (Paper 24).

³ Patent Owner filed similar Exhibits in IPR2017-01191 (Ex. 2114), IPR2017-01192 (Ex. 2008), and IPR2017-01450 (Ex. 2011).

IPR2017-00888 (Patent 7,320,025 B1)
IPR2017-01191 (Patent 9,351,045 B1)
IPR2017-01192 (Patent 8,769,602 B1)
IPR2017-01450 (Patent 9,414,121 B1)

Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations;
and

FURTHER ORDERED that Martin J. Black is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a) and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

IPR2017-00888 (Patent 7,320,025 B1)
IPR2017-01191 (Patent 9,351,045 B1)
IPR2017-01192 (Patent 8,769,602 B1)
IPR2017-01450 (Patent 9,414,121 B1)

PETITIONER:

Heath J. Briggs
Joshua L. Raskin
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
BriggsH@gtlaw.com
RaskinJ@gtlaw.com

PATENT OWNER:

Robert W. Ashbrook Jr.
Robert D. Rhoad
DECHERT LLP
robert.ashbrook@dechert.com
robert.rhoad@dechert.com

Brian S. Rosenbloom
Martin M. Zoltick
Michael V. Battaglia
Jennifer B. Maisel
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.
brosenbloom@rfem.com
mzoltick@rfem.com
mbattaglia@rfem.com
jmaisel@rfem.com