## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### FILED VIA THE PATENT REVIEW PROCESSING SYSTEM

In re <i>Inter Partes Review</i> of: U.S. Patent No. 6,757,717	)))
Issued: June 29, 2004	)
Applicant: Leonid Goldstein	)
Application No. 09/398,007	)
Filed: September 16, 1999	)
Title: System And Method For Data Access	)))
Currently in Litigation Styled: <i>Proxyconn Inc. v. Microsoft</i> <i>Corporation, et al.</i> , Central District of California, Case No. SA CV11-1681 DOC (ANx) [Consolidated With Case Nos. SA CV11-1682 DOC (ANx), SA CV11-1683 DOC (ANx), and SA CV11-1684 DOC (ANx)]	))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board United States Patent and Trademark Office PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450.

DOCKET

# PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1, 3, 10-12, 14 AND 22-24 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,757,717

Trial Number: To be assigned

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag	ge		
I.	INTRODUCTION				
II.	'717	PATENT (EX. 1002)	.7		
III.	-	LLENGED CLAIMS AND THEIR ADEST REASONABLE INTERPRETATIONS (BRI)	.8		
	A.	Summary Of Challenged Claims	.8		
	B.	Features Not Required By Any Challenged Claim's BRI	.9		
IV.	PRO	POSED ANTICIPATION GROUNDS NOS. 1-3	.9		
	A.	Claims' Information Items	10		
	B.	Claims' Environment	14		
	C.	Claims' Elements Of A Sender And Receiver	15		
		1. Elements Of A Sender	15		
		2. Elements Of Receiver	18		
	D.	Claims' Steps Performed By Sender And Receiver, And Their BRI .2	23		
		1. Steps Of A Sender:	23		
		2. Steps Of The Receiver:	25		
V.	PRO	POSED GROUND FOR UNPATENTABILITY NO. 4 (BABER)2	29		
VI.		POSED GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY . 4 AND 5 (OBVIOUS OVER PERLMAN AND YOHE)	31		
	A.	Obviousness: Level Of Skill In The Art	31		
	B.	Obviousness: Arguable Differences From Some Claims	32		
	C.	Obviousness: Objective Indicia	33		
	D.	Obviousness: Reasons To Combine Perlman And Yohe	34		

	E.	This Combination Teaches Each Claimed Combination	.36
VII.	_	POSED GROUND FOR ATENTABILITY NO. 6 (OBVIOUS OVER	
		LMAN IN VIEW OF YOHE AND ADMITTED ART)	.40
VIII.	CON	CLUSION	.41

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page
<i>Brown v. 3M</i> , 265 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2001)
In re Antoine de Gaulle, Appeal 2008-6183 (BPAI Feb. 10, 2009)24
<i>In re Epstein</i> , 32 F.3d 1559 (Fed. Cir. 1994)
<i>In re Fox</i> , 471 F.2d 1405 (CCPA 1973)
<i>In re Tiffin</i> , 448 F.2d 791 (CCPA 1971)33
<i>In re Vamco Machine &amp; Tool, Inc.</i> , 752 F.2d 1564 (Fed. Cir. 1985)
<i>Schumer v. Lab. Computer Sys., Inc.,</i> 308 F.3d 1304 (Fed. Cir. 2002)13
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 102
35 U.S.C. Sec. 112 passim
35 U.S.C. §§ 311 et seq1
Regulations
37 C.F.R. § 42.1031
37 C.F.R. § 42.104
37 C.F.R. § 42.15

<u>Fee</u>: In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15, 42.103, please charge the fee for *Inter Partes* Review of \$27,200.00 to Deposit Account 02-4550.

Identification of Challenge: Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311 *et seq.*, Petitioner Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") requests *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 10-12, 14 and 22-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,757,717, issued to Proxyconn Inc. Sections I-VII *infra* and Appendix A provide the required statement of the precise relief requested for each claim challenged, per 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b).

<u>Standing</u>: Microsoft certifies that this patent is available for *inter partes* review and that Microsoft is not barred or estopped from requesting an *inter partes* review challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified in this petition.

<u>Real-Party-in-Interest</u>: Microsoft is the sole real-party-in-interest.

<u>Related Matters</u>: Proxyconn is asserting the '717 patent against Microsoft and three Microsoft customers (Dell, HP and Acer) in a suit first filed November 3, 2011, now styled, *Proxyconn Inc. v. Microsoft Corporation, et al.*, Case No. SA CV11-1681 DOC (ANx) [consolidated with Case Nos. SA CV11-1682 DOC (ANx), SA CV11-1683 DOC (ANx), and SA CV11-1684 DOC (ANx)], pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California ("the '717 Concurrent Litigation").

Lead and Back-Up Counsel; Service Information:

John D. Vandenberg (Lead Counsel, PTO Reg. No. 31312)

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.