# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD GOOGLE INC. Petitioner V. IXI IP, LLC Patent Owner Case No. IPR2016-01669 Patent 7,552,124

DECLARATION OF LIN CHASE, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

MICROSOFT CORP. ET AL. EXHIBIT 1019



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Introduction		
	A.	Engagement	
	B.	Compensation and Prior Testimony	
	C.	Qualifications and Professional Experience.	
	D.	Summary of My Study	3
II.	Relevant Legal Standards		
	A.	Claim Construction	7
	B.	Obviousness	7
III.	One	Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	9
IV.	Ove	view Of The '124 Patent	10
V.	Opin	ion On Claim Construction	13
VI.	Grounds Based On Maes And Preston.		
	A.	Summary of the Asserted References	
		1. <i>Maes</i>	
		2. <i>Preston</i>	
	B.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <i>Maes</i> and <i>Preston</i> Does	
		Not Render Obvious Claims 1 and 6	19
		1. <i>Maes</i> and <i>Preston</i> do not disclose or suggest "parsing the	
		high-level code for the keywords" as alleged by	
		Petitioner	19
		2. <i>Maes</i> and <i>Preston</i> do not disclose or suggest	
		"determining level of complexity and implementation of	
		the high-level code" as alleged by Petitioner	23
VII.	Grounds Based On Pazandak, White, And Manson		
	A.	Summary of the Asserted References	
	1 1.	1. Pazandak	
		2. <i>White</i>	
		3. <i>Manson</i>	
	B.	Petitioner's Proposed Combination of <i>Pazandak</i> , <i>White</i> , and	0 1
		Manson Does Not Render Obvious Claims 1 and 6	32
		1. Pazandak, White, and Manson do not disclose or suggest	
		"wherein the high-level code is provided by a user	



- 1 -

	without having to select from menu items" as alleged	
	by Petitioner	32
2.	Pazandak, White and Manson do not disclose or suggest	
	"parsing the high-level code for the keywords" as	
	alleged by Petitioner	35
3.	Pazandak, White and Manson do not disclose or suggest	
	"determining whether high-level code comprises	
	keywords defining one or more relationships and	
	conditions corresponding to the operative language" as	
	alleged in the Petition	37
4.	Pazandak, White, and Manson do not disclose or suggest	
	"determining level of complexity and implementation of	
	the high-level code" as alleged in the Petition	38
5.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have been	
	motivated to modify <i>Pazandak</i> in view of <i>White</i> as	
	alleged by Petitioner	41



I, Lin Chase, Ph.D., do hereby declare:

### I. INTRODUCTION

## A. Engagement

1. I have been retained by counsel for Patent Owner as an expert witness to render opinions on certain issues concerning *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2016-01669 of U.S. Patent No. 7,552,124 to Vladimir Drukin (hereafter "the '124 Patent"). This is my written declaration.

# **B.** Compensation and Prior Testimony

- 2. I am being compensated at a standard rate of \$375 per hour for my study and preparation of this declaration. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this study. This compensation is not dependent on my opinions or testimony or the outcome of this matter.
- 3. I have previously testified as an expert in the following matters: Nuance Communications, Inc. v. Vlingo Corp, United States District Court, D. Mass., 09-11414-RWZ, and Ultratec, Inc., et al. v. Sorenson Comm., Inc., et al., W.D. Wisc., 3:14-cv-00066-JDP.

# C. Qualifications and Professional Experience

4. I am currently Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Big Tech Strategy.



- 5. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Physics in 1985, a Master of Science degree in Computer Science in 1992, and a Doctor of Philosophy degree in Computer Science in 1997, all from Carnegie Mellon University. My Ph.D. thesis was entitled "Error-Responsive Feedback Mechanisms for Speech Recognizers."
- 6. From 1993 to 1997, I worked as the President of Human Language Systems, LLC in Pittsburgh, PA. Human Language Systems provided consulting services in speech and natural language processing technology. At Human Language Systems, I was responsible for providing strategic consulting, project management, and implementation services for speech recognition, natural language understanding, and spoken language systems to a number of private corporations and public institutions.
- 7. In 1998, I worked as a Researcher for LIMSI/CNRS, Université Paris XI, a French National Research Laboratory in Orsay, France. There, I performed research in spoken language understanding systems in French and English.
- 8. From 1999 to 2001, I worked as the Director of Operations, EMEA for SpeechWorks International, which provided spoken and natural language interactive systems for call center and telecommunications automation. I founded the European division of SpeechWorks International, eventually growing the



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

