UNITED STATES	PATENT AND TRAD	EMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PA	ATENT TRIAL AND A	PPEAL BOARD
	GOOGLE INC. Petitioner	
	v.	
	BLACKBERRY LTD. Patent Owner	
	Patent No. 8,745,149	

DECLARATION OF DR. DAN R. OLSEN JR.



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION1					
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS					
III.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS					
IV.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART					
V.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND					
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '149 PATENT9					
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
VIII.	OVE	RVIEV	W OF THE PRIOR ART	10		
	A.	Appe	lman	11		
	B.	Toshi	o	12		
	C.	Milto	n	13		
	D.	МасН	Phail	14		
IX.			R ART DISCLOSES OR SUGGESTS ALL OF THE S OF CLAIMS 1-17 OF THE '149 PATENT	14		
	A.		Combination of <i>Appelman</i> and <i>Toshio</i> Discloses or ests the Features of Claims 1-5, 9-13, and 17	15		
		1.	Claim 1	16		
		2.	Claim 2	29		
		3.	Claim 3	29		
		4.	Claim 4	30		
		5.	Claim 5	31		
		6.	Claim 9	32		
		7.	Claim 10	35		
		8.	Claim 11	36		
		9.	Claim 12	36		
		10.	Claim 13	37		
		11.	Claim 17	37		



	B.		Combination of <i>Appelman</i> and <i>Milton</i> Discloses or ests the Features of Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 13-15, and 17	40
		1.	Claims 1, 9, and 17	
		2.	Claim 5	53
		3.	Claim 6	53
		4.	Claim 7	54
		5.	Claim 13	54
		6.	Claim 14	55
		7.	Claim 15	55
	C.		Combination of <i>Appelman</i> , <i>Toshio</i> , and <i>MacPhail</i> loses or Suggests the Features of Claims 8 and 16	55
		1.	Claim 8	56
		2.	Claim 16	59
	D.		Combination of <i>Appelman</i> , <i>Milton</i> , and <i>MacPhail</i> loses or Suggests the Features of Claims 8 and 16	60
X	CON	CLUS	ION	61

I, Dr. Dan R. Olsen Jr., declare as follows:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been retained by Google Inc. ("Petitioner") as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,745,149 ("the '149 patent") (Ex. 1001). I have been asked to consider whether certain references disclose or suggest the features recited in claims 1-17 of the '149 patent. My opinions are set forth below.
- 2. I am being compensated at my rate of \$500 per hour for the time I spend on this matter. My compensation is in no way contingent on the nature of my findings, the presentation of my findings in testimony, or the outcome of this or any other proceeding. I have no other interest in this proceeding.

## II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. I have more than 35 years of experience in computer science and human-computer interaction (HCI). I hold a doctorate in Computing and Information from the University of Pennsylvania. For 3 ½ years I was an Assistant Professor of Computer Science at Arizona State University. I then served for 30 years on the faculty of Brigham Young University, retiring as a full professor in 2015. During that time at BYU, I also served as the chair of the Department of Computer Science. I took leave from BYU in 1996 to become the founding



director of the Human Computer Interaction Institute in the School of Computer Science at Carnegie Mellon University. I returned to BYU in 1998. I am currently the CEO of a software startup in educational technology (SparxTeq, Inc).

- 4. During the course of my academic career, I authored over 70 papers in the field of computer science. The topics on which I have published papers are:
  - User Interface Management Systems
  - Syntactic representations of user interfaces
  - Multi-user interaction across networks
  - Induction of interaction behavior from pictures
  - Novel interaction techniques using speech and laser pointers
  - Interactive machine learning
  - Interactive robotics
  - Interactive television
- 5. I currently hold 4 patents in human-computer interaction. I have authored 3 textbooks on the techniques of software design for human-computer interaction.
- 6. I have had extensive involvement in professional societies, such as the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), the premiere society in computing. I have served in many offices of ACM's Special Interest Group on Computer



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

