

Filed on behalf of: Boydston Equipment Manufacturing, LLC

By: Stephen Joncus  
JONCUS LAW LLC  
971.236.1200  
steve@joncus.net

David Madden  
MERSENNE LAW  
503.679.1671  
dhm@mersenne.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

BOYDSTUN EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURING, LLC

Petitioner

v.

COTTRELL, INC.

Patent Owner

---

IPR2017-00962

Patent No. 7,585,140

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Mandatory Notices .....	1
II.	Payment of Fees.....	2
III.	Grounds for Standing.....	2
IV.	The '140 Patent.....	2
V.	The '140 Patent Prosecution History.....	4
VI.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested for Each Claim Challenged .....	7
	A. Effective filing date of the '140 patent .....	7
	B. Prior Art References .....	7
	1. <i>Ruan</i> .....	7
	2. <i>Cottrell</i> .....	8
	3. <i>Boice</i> .....	8
	C. Grounds of Challenge .....	8
VII.	Claim Construction.....	9
	A. The broadest reasonable construction of “in mechanical contact with” is “pressing against” .....	9
	B. The broadest reasonable construction of “coupled” is “affixed or engaged” .....	11
VIII.	The Prior Art References.....	13
	A. The <i>Boice</i> Reference .....	13
	B. The <i>Ruan</i> Reference.....	15
	C. The <i>Cottrell</i> Reference.....	17

D.	The <i>Boice</i> , <i>Ruan</i> , and <i>Cottrell</i> references are each analogous art to the ‘140 patent.....	18
IX.	Ground 1: Claims 1 – 8 are obvious over <i>Ruan</i> in view of <i>Cottrell</i> .....	19
A.	Claim 1 is unpatentable.....	19
1.	It would have been obvious to combine <i>Ruan</i> and <i>Cottrell</i> .....	19
2.	<i>Ruan</i> and <i>Cottrell</i> disclose all the elements of claim 1 .....	23
3.	Claim 1 is unpatentable over <i>Ruan</i> in view of <i>Cottrell</i> .....	29
B.	Claims 2 through 8 are unpatentable over <i>Ruan</i> in view of <i>Cottrell</i> ...	29
X.	Ground 2: Claims 1 – 8 are obvious over <i>Boice</i> in view of <i>Ruan</i> .....	33
A.	Claim 1 is unpatentable .....	33
1.	It would have been obvious to combine <i>Boice</i> and <i>Ruan</i> .....	33
2.	<i>Boice</i> and <i>Ruan</i> disclose all the elements of claim 1.....	37
3.	Claim 1 is unpatentable over <i>Boice</i> in view of <i>Ruan</i> .....	41
B.	Claims 2 through 8 are unpatentable .....	42
XI.	Conclusion.....	46

## Table of Authorities

### Cases

<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l v. Coolsavings.com</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	24, 37
<i>Cuozzo Speed Tech. v. Lee</i> , ___U.S.___, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	9
<i>KSR Int'l v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	passim
<i>Scientific Plastic Prods. v. Biotage AB</i> , 766 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	18

### Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	2, 8
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....	2

### Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	9
-----------------------------	---

**List of Exhibits**

- Exhibit 1001 U.S. Patent No. 7,585,140 to Howes et al, assigned to Cottrell Inc. (“the ’140 patent”)
- Exhibit 1002 Declaration of George Clark
- Exhibit 1003 U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2006/0013667 to Ruan, published January 19, 2006 (“*Ruan*”)
- Exhibit 1004 U.S. Patent No. 5,314,275 to Cottrell et al, issued May 24, 1994 (“*Cottrell*”)
- Exhibit 1005 U.S. Patent No. 6,824,121 to Boice, issued November 30, 2004 (“*Boice*”)
- Exhibit 1006 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/056,594, Accelerated Examination Support Document, filed June 5, 2008
- Exhibit 1007 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/056,594, Office Action, Final Rejection, filed November 20, 2008
- Exhibit 1008 U.S. Patent Application No. 12/056,594, Amendment and Response Accompanying Request for Continued Examination, filed February 20, 2009

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.