

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION

PERDIEMCO, LLC
Plaintiff,

vs.

GPS LOGIC, LLC, et al,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 2:15-cv-01216-JRG
Lead Case

**DEFENDANT TV MANAGEMENT, INC. d/b/a GPS NORTH AMERICA'S
MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶¶ 1 AND 2**

**PerDiem Ex. 2010
Telular Corporation v.
PerdiemCo LLC
Case IPR2017-00968**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE.....3

II. PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....3

A. Procedural History3

B. The Asserted Patents.....4

1. Continuation Applications and Claims to Priority.....4

2. Patent Specification Disclosure6

3. Prosecution History.....9

III. LEGAL STANDARDS11

A. Motion to Dismiss Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(c).....11

B. Requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 11212

1. Written Description Requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 112

2. Requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2 that the Claims Point Out and Distinctly Claim the Subject Matter which the Inventor Regards as the Alleged Invention.....13

IV. ARGUMENT14

A. The Asserted Claims Are Not Described in the Patent Specification, and Thus Fail to Satisfy the Written Description Requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1.....14

1. The common specification of the Asserted Patents, as well as the prosecution history of the Asserted Patents, unequivocally establish that the inventor required user defined zones and user defined events to be essential claim elements.14

a. The inventor clearly indicates in the specification and prosecution history that user defined zones and user defined events are “required” elements of the alleged invention.15

b. The particular embodiments and applications of the alleged invention further evidence that user defined zones and user defined events are “essential” or “critical” elements to the purported invention.16

c. The original claims in the prosecution history further evidence that user defined zones and user defined events are “essential” or “critical” elements to the purported invention.....19

2. The Asserted Claims do not include the “required” user defined zone and user defined event elements, and are thus invalid under the written description requirement as being broader than the specification disclosure.....20

B. The Asserted Claims Do Not Claim The Subject Matter Which The Inventor Regards as the Alleged Invention, and Thus Fail to Satisfy the Conditions of 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2.....24

V. CONCLUSION.....25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Allen Eng’g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc.,
299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 13, 24

Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.,
598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (en banc)..... 12

Ashcroft v. Iqbal,
556 U.S. 662 (2009)..... 11

Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,
550 U.S. 544 (2007)..... 12

Carnegie Mellon Univ. v. Hoffmann-La Roche Inc.,
935 F.2d 1555 12

Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. v. Abbott Labs.,
636 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2011)..... 11, 12, 20

Cooper Cameron Corp. v. Kvaerner Oilfield Products, Inc.,
291 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 13, 15

Gentry Gallery, Inc. v. Berkline Corp.,
134 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21

Great Plains Trust Co. v. Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co.,
313 F.3d 305 (5th Cir. 2002) 12

ICU Med., Inc. v. Alaris Med. Sys., Inc.,
No. SA CV 04-00689, 2007 WL 8081360 at *14 *aff’d* 558 F.3d
1368 (Fed. Cir. 2009)..... 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20

In re Katrina Canal Breaches Litig.,
495 F.3d 191 (5th Cir. 2007) 11

Juxtacomm-Texas Software, LLC v. Axway, Inc.,
No. 6:10-cv-0011, 2012 WL 7637197 (E.D. Tex. July 5, 2012)
aff’d Juxtacomm-Texas Software, LLC v. Tibco Software, Inc., 532
Fed.Appx. 911 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 14, 24

LizardTech, Inc. v. Earth Resource Mapping, Inc.,
424 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 13, 20

PerdiemCo LLC v. Geotab Inc.,
No. 2:15-cv-00726-JRG-RSP 3

PerdiemCo LLC v. GPS Logic LLC,
 2:15-cv-01216-JRG-RSP, D.I. 20 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 30, 2015)..... 4

PerdiemCo LLC v. Industrack LLC,
 2:15-cv-00727-JRG-RSP, D.I. 21 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2015)..... 3, 4

PIN/NIP, Inc. v. Platte Checmical Co.,
 304 F.3d 1235 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 12, 13, 15, 20

Reiffin v. Microsoft Corp.,
 214 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 13

SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Systems, Inc.,
 242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 15

Solomon v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.,
 216 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2000)..... 13

Tronzo v. Biomet, Inc.,
 156 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 13, 16, 17, 21

Turbocare Div. of Demag Delaval Turbomachinery Corp. v. Gen. Elec.
Co., 264 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 13, 20

Univ. of Rochester v. G.D. Searle & Co., Inc.,
 358 F.3d 916 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 12, 13, 20

Voest-Alpine Trading USA Corp. v. Bank of China,
 142 F.3d 887 (5th Cir. 1998) 12

Williams v Gen. Surgical Innovations, Inc.,
 178 F.Supp.2d 698 (E.D. Tex. 2002)..... 13

Rules and Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 101..... 1

35 U.S.C. § 112..... 13, 15

35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 1 1, 2, 3, 12, 21, 24, 25

35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 2..... 1, 2, 3, 13, 14, 24, 25

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)..... 11

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(c) 1, 11, 12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.