

Filed: February 28, 2017

Filed on behalf of:

Smith & Nephew, Inc.

By: Joseph R. Re

Christy G. Lea

Colin B. Heideman

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

Tel.: (949) 760-0404

Fax: (949) 760-9502

Email: BoxSMNPHL.168LP6@knobbe.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SMITH & NEPHEW, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

CONFORMIS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case No. TBD

U.S. Patent No. 8,657,827

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF CLAIMS 1-25, 28, 29, AND 32-46 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,657,827**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	2
E. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	3
II. SUMMARY OF ISSUES PRESENTED.....	3
III. INTRODUCTION & STATE OF THE ART.....	6
A. Knee Joint Anatomy	6
B. Knee Replacement Procedures	8
C. Using Imaging to Create Patient-Specific Guides	9
1. Using Imaging to Create Patient-Specific Instruments With Tool Guides Was Well-Known	9
2. Using Imaging to Determine the Contour of Joint Surfaces Was Well-Known	10
IV. THE '827 PATENT.....	13
A. Overview	13
B. Prosecution History.....	15
C. Priority.....	16
D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	16
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd.)

	Page No.
A. "References the Osteophyte"	17
VI. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	18
A. Status of References as Prior Art	19
VII. SPECIFIC PROPOSED GROUNDS FOR REJECTION	20
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-13, 32, 33, 38, and 44-46 Are Unpatentable Under § 103(a) Over Radermacher in Combination With Alexander	20
1. Claim 1	20
a. Patient-Specific Surgical Instrument Having a Patient-Specific Surface	20
b. The Patient-Specific Surface Includes Cartilage Information	22
i. Radermacher	23
ii. The Knowledge of a POSITA	24
iii. Alexander	26
c. The Corresponding Portion of the Diseased or Damaged Joint Includes an Osteophyte.....	29
d. The Patient-Specific Surface References the Osteophyte 29	
i. Radermacher	29
ii. The Knowledge of a POSITA	30
iii. Alexander	31
e. Guide to Accommodate a Surgical Tool	31

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(cont'd.)

	Page No.
2. Claims 2 and 3	33
3. Claims 4 and 5	35
4. Claims 6-13, 32, 33, 38, and 44-46	36
B. Ground 2: Claims 14-19, 22-25, 28, 29, 34-37, and 39-43 Are Unpatentable As Obvious Over Radermacher in Combination with Alexander and Woolson.....	49
1. Claims 14-18 and 41-42	49
2. Claims 19 and 43	53
3. Claim 22	55
4. Claims 39 and 40	59
5. Claim 23	60
6. Claims 24, 25, 28, and 29.....	61
7. Claims 34-37	63
C. Ground 3: Claims 20 and 21 Are Unpatentable As Obvious Over Radermacher in Combination With Alexander, Woolson, and Hofmann. ...	78
D. Ground 4: Claims 1-13, 32, 33, 38, and 44-46 Are Unpatentable As Obvious Over Radermacher in Combination With Fell.	81
E. Grounds 5-6.....	85
VIII. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NONOBVIOUSNESS	85
IX. CONCLUSION	86

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No(s).

<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	17
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	17
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	25, 35
<i>Leapfrog Enters. Inc. v. Fisher-Price, Inc.</i> , 485 F.3d 1157 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	85
<i>Newell Cos. v. Kenney Mfg. Co.</i> , 864 F.2d 757 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	85

OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 102	16, 19
35 U.S.C. § 103	20
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8.....	1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100.....	1, 17
37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	3
MPEP § 2111.....	17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.