

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

COSMO TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED,  
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 8,784,888 to Villa *et al.*  
Issue Date: June 22, 2014

Title: Controlled Release and Taste Masking Oral Pharmaceutical Compositions

---

*Inter Partes* Review No.: IPR2017-01034

---

**Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,784,888 Under  
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW .....	1
III. STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS).....	1
IV. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)).....	2
A. Each Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	2
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
1. Judicial Matters .....	2
2. Administrative Matters .....	2
C. Designation of Lead and Back-Up Counsel and Service (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3), 42.8(b)(4), 42.10(a), and 42.10(b)): .....	3
V. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFORE (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)) .....	4
VI. THE '888 PATENT.....	4
A. Claim Construction.....	5
VII. A PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	7
VIII. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).....	8
IX. INVALIDITY ANALYSIS .....	9
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-9 of the '888 Patent Would Have Been Obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,239,120 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,681,584 .....	9
1. The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art and the Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	10
a. The '120 Patent.....	11

b.	The '584 Patent.....	12
2.	Differences Between the Prior Art and the Claims at Issue .....	14
a.	Claim 1.....	14
b.	Claims 2, 4, 6, 8: “at least one hydrogel-forming hydrophilic excipient comprises at least one hydroxyalkyl cellulose” .....	27
c.	Claim 3: “said gastro-resistant film consists essentially of at least one methacrylic acid polymer” .....	28
d.	Claims 5 and 9: “at least one lipophilic excipient comprises stearic acid or magnesium stearate” .....	30
e.	Claim 7: “at least one amphiphilic excipient comprises lecithin” .....	31
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-9 of the '888 Patent Would Have Been Obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,681,584 .....	32
1.	The Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art and the Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....	32
2.	Differences Between the Claims and the Prior Art .....	32
a.	Claim 1.....	32
a.	Claims 2, 4, 6, 8: “at least one hydrogel-forming hydrophilic excipient comprises at least one hydroxyalkyl cellulose” .....	45
b.	Claim 3: “said gastro-resistant film consists essentially of at least one methacrylic acid polymer” .....	46
c.	Claims 5 and 9: “at least one lipophilic excipient comprises stearic acid or magnesium stearate” .....	47
d.	Claim 7: “at least one amphiphilic excipient comprises lecithin” .....	47
e.	Reasonable Expectation of Success.....	48
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-9 are Anticipated by U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2006/0134208 .....	49
1.	Effective Filing Date of the '888 Patent .....	49

a.	Legal Standard for Priority Dates .....	49
b.	“Other Than Gum” Limitation .....	51
c.	The ’888 Patent Cannot Have a Priority Date Earlier Than September 14, 2012 .....	51
2.	The ’208 Publication is Prior Art.....	57
3.	Disclosure of the ’208 Publication.....	58
D.	Objective Indicia of Non-Obviousness .....	64
1.	No Long-Felt Unmet Need .....	65
2.	No Failure of Others .....	65
3.	No Unexpected Results Over the Closest Prior Art.....	66
4.	No Commercial Success .....	67
X.	CONCLUSION.....	68

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Page(s)**

### CASES

<i>Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Hospira, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01577, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 9, 2017).....	66
<i>Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC v. Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00368, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 17, 2013) .....	64
<i>Amneal Pharms, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> , IPR2016-01412, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 14, 2017).....	12
<i>Amneal Pharms, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P.</i> , IPR2016-01413, Paper 9 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 18, 2017) .....	12
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly &amp; Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ( <i>en banc</i> ) .....	49
<i>Automotive Data Solutions, Inc. v. AAMP of Florida, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00061, Paper 23 (P.T.A.B. May 13, 2016) .....	49
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Ben Venue Labs.</i> , 246 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	64
<i>Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. v. Abbott Labs.</i> , 636 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	50
<i>Chi Mei Innolux Corp. v. Semiconductor Energy Lab. Co., Ltd.</i> , IPR2013-00028, Paper 14 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 21, 2014) .....	9
<i>Coalition For Affordable Drugs II, LLC v. Cosmo Technologies, Ltd.</i> , IPR2015-00988, Paper 8 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 7, 2015).....	19, 34
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	7
<i>Dow Jones &amp; Co. v. Ablaise Ltd.</i> , 606 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.