

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONOS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

D&M HOLDINGS INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01045
Patent 7,987,294 B2

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JENNIFER S. BISK, and
JON M. JURGOVAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JURGOVAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
John M. Jackson
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Patent Owner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. John M. Jackson (Paper 21), supported by a Declaration of Mr. Jackson (Ex. 2045), in the instant proceeding. The Motion is unopposed.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding.

Upon review of Patent Owner's Motion and supporting evidence, we determine that Patent Owner has demonstrated that Mr. Jackson has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding. We also recognize that there is a need for Patent Owner to have Mr. Jackson be involved in the proceeding at issue. Accordingly, Patent Owner has established that there is good cause for admitting Mr. Jackson.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Mr. Jackson is *granted*; Mr. Jackson is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jackson is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and he is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a),

IPR2017-01045
Patent 7,987,294 B2

and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R.
§§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

PETITIONER:

George I. Lee
Sean M. Sullivan
Rory P. Shea
John Dan Smith III
lee@ls3ip.com
sullivan@ls3ip.com
shea@ls3ip.com
smith@ls3ip.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher J. Rourk
Wasif H. Qureshi
John M. Jackson
crouk@jw.com
wqureshi@jw.com