

Paper No. _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KYOCERA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Petitioner

v.

BLUE SPIKE, LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 5,745,569

Issue Date: April 28, 1998

Title: METHOD FOR STEGA-CIPHER PROTECTION OF COMPUTER CODE

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2017-01061

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET. SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXHIBIT LIST	iii
NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL.....	1
NOTICE OF EACH REAL-PARTY-IN-INTEREST	1
NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS.....	1
NOTICE OF SERVICE INFORMATION.....	2
GROUND FOR STANDING.....	2
STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS	2
STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	3
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
I. Introduction to the Technology of the '569 Patent.....	4
II. Independent Claims 1, 10, and 16 of the '569 Patent.....	5
III. Construction of the Claims	7
i. “copy protecting a software application” (Claims 1, 10, 16).....	7
ii. “during execution of the software application” (Claim 16).....	10
iii. “intermittently relocating” (Claim 16).....	12
iv. “executable code resources” (Claims 1, 10, 16)	13
IV. Claim-By-Claim Explanation of Grounds for Unpatentability	14
Ground 1. Claims 1, 10, and 16 of the '569 Patent are unpatentable as anticipated by Goldreich (Ex. 1003).	15
i. Goldreich Is Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	15

ii.	Goldreich Discloses All Elements of Independent Claims 1, 10, and 16	16
Ground 2.	Claim 16 of the '569 Patent is unpatentable as anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,123,045 (Ex. 1005).....	24
i.	The '045 Patent Is Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	24
ii.	The '045 Patent Discloses All Elements of Independent Claim 16....	24
Ground 3.	Claim 16 of the '569 Patent is unpatentable as anticipated by Ostrovsky 1992 (Ex. 1004).	32
i.	Ostrovsky 1992 Is Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	32
ii.	Ostrovsky 1992 Discloses All Elements of Independent Claim 16....	32
Ground 4.	Claim 16 of the '569 Patent is unpatentable as anticipated by Ostrovsky 1990 (Ex. 1006).	36
i.	Ostrovsky 1990 Is Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	36
ii.	Ostrovsky 1990 Discloses All Elements of Independent Claim 16....	37
CONCLUSION		41

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. #	Exhibit
1001	U.S. Patent No. 5,745,569 (“the ’569 Patent”)
1002	Image File Wrapper for ’569 Patent
1003	Oded Goldreich, <i>Towards a Theory of Software Protection and Simulation by Oblivious RAMs</i> , 1987 Symposium on Theory of Computing 182-194 (May 1987) (“Goldreich”)
1004	Rafail Ostrovsky, <i>Software Protection and Simulation on Oblivious RAMs</i> (May 17, 1992) (MIT Ph.D. Thesis) (“Ostrovsky 1992”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,123,045 to Rafail Ostrovsky (“the ’045 Patent”)
1006	Rafail Ostrovsky, <i>Efficient Computation on Oblivious RAMs</i> , 1990 Symposium on Theory of Computing 514-523 (May 1990) (“Ostrovsky 1990”)
1007	Expert Declaration of Rafail Ostrovsky, Ph.D.
1008	Claim Construction Order entered May 16, 2016 in an unrelated litigation, <i>Blue Spike, LLC v. Huawei Techs. Co. et al.</i> , Case No. 6:13-cv-00679, Dkt. 194.
1009	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Rafail Ostrovsky, Ph.D.
1010	Image File Wrapper for U.S. Patent No. 8,930,719

NOTICE OF LEAD AND BACKUP COUNSEL

Lead Counsel: Nicola A. Pisano (Reg. No. 34,408) **Tel:** 858.847.6877

Backup Counsel: Scott R. Kaspar (Reg. No. 54,583) **Tel:** 312.832.5113

Address: Foley & Lardner LLP, 3579 Valley Centre Drive, San Diego, CA 92130

Fax: 858.792.6773

NOTICE OF EACH REAL-PARTY-IN-INTEREST

The real-parties-in-interest are: Kyocera International, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kyocera Corporation, a publicly-traded Japanese entity.

NOTICE OF RELATED MATTERS

Patent Owner asserted the '569 Patent against Kyocera International, Inc. in the Eastern District of Texas in a case captioned *Blue Spike, LLC v. Archos, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 6:16-cv-1142, filed September 2, 2016. Patent Owner voluntarily dismissed the action on February 9, 2017. On February 10, 2017, Kyocera International, Inc. filed a complaint for declaratory judgment of non-infringement only in the Southern District of California in a case captioned *Kyocera International, Inc. v. Blue Spike, LLC*, Case No. 3:17-cv-0262-BTM-JMA, which is now pending before the Hon. Barry T. Moskowitz.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.