

Filed on behalf of Patent Owner by:  
Patrick J. McElhinny, Reg. No. 46,320  
Mark G. Knedeisen, Reg. No. 42,747  
K&L GATES LLP  
210 Sixth Ave.  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222  
Tel: 412-355-6500 / Fax: 412-355-6501

Paper No. 23

Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149  
Gerald B. Hrycyszyn, Reg. No. 50,474  
WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Ave.  
Boston, MA 02210-2206  
Tel: 617-646-8000 / Fax: 617-646-8646

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LSI CORPORATION and AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES U.S., INC,  
Petitioners,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case No. IPR2017-01068  
Patent No. 5,859,601

---

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION TO STAY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION .....1

II. ARGUMENT.....1

    A. Sovereign Immunity Protects UMN From Being Forced To Participate In This Proceeding. ....1

    B. UMN Is Entitled To Immediate Judicial Review.....2

    C. Courts Protect Sovereign Immunity Pending an Appeal. ....5

    D. The Board Has The Authority To Stay The Proceeding.....6

    E. UMN’s Appeal Will Automatically Divest The Board Of Jurisdiction Over This IPR Proceeding.....7

    F. Staying The Proceeding Before Divestiture Is Preferred.....10

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd. v. Am. Vehicular Scis. LLC</i> , IPR2013-00383, Paper 7 (PTAB Sept. 12, 2013).....	7
<i>Apostol v. Gallion</i> , 870 F.2d 1335 (7th Cir. 1989) .....	5, 6, 8
<i>Baum Research &amp; Dev. Co. v. Univ. of Mass. at Lowell</i> , 503 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (“ <i>Baum Research</i> ”).....	3
<i>Califano v. Sanders</i> , 430 U.S. 99 (1977) .....	10
<i>Captioncall, LLC v. Ultratec, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00540, 2016 WL 8944624 (PTAB Aug. 9, 2016).....	8
<i>Chehazeh v. Attorney Gen. of U.S.</i> , 666 F.3d 118 (3d Cir. 2012).....	3
<i>Chuman v. Wright</i> , 960 F.2d 104 (9th Cir. 1992).....	9
<i>Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Robert Bosch LLC</i> , IPR2016-00034, 2017 WL 4102099 (PTAB Sept. 14, 2017) (“ <i>Costco</i> ”) .....	8
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016) (“ <i>Cuozzo</i> ”).....	3, 4
<i>Elec. Frontier Found. V. Personal Audio, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00070, Paper 19 (PTAB Jan. 14, 2014).....	7
<i>Ericsson Inc. v. Regents of the U. of Minn.</i> , IPR2017-01186, Paper 13 (PTAB Aug. 15, 2017) .....	7
<i>Goshtasby v. Bd. of Trs. of Univ. of Ill.</i> , 123 F.3d 427 (7th Cir. 1997).....	6
<i>Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.</i> , 459 U.S. 56 (1982) .....	7
<i>Howard v. U.S. Dist. Court for S. Dist. of Ohio</i> , No. 09-5139, 2009 WL 2981979 (D.C. Cir. July 10, 2009).....	9
<i>In re Allen</i> , 115 F.2d 936 (C.C.P.A. 1940) .....	8

<i>In re Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Tex. Sys.</i> , 435 F. App'x 945 (Fed. Cir. 2011) (“ <i>U. of Texas.</i> ”)	2, 5
<i>Johnson v. Robison</i> , 415 U.S. 361 (1974)	4, 8
<i>Loshbough v. Allen</i> , 359 F.2d 910 (C.C.P.A. 1966)	8
<i>May v. Sheahan</i> , 226 F.3d 876 (7th Cir. 2000)	8
<i>Meredith v. Fed. Mine Safety &amp; Health Review Comm’n</i> , 177 F.3d 1042 (D.C. Cir. 1999)	3
<i>Minotti v. Lensink</i> , 798 F.2d 608 (2d Cir. 1986)	3
<i>Mitchell v. Forsyth</i> , 472 U.S. 511 (1985)	4, 5
<i>Puerto Rico Aqueduct &amp; Sewer Auth. v. Metcalf &amp; Eddy, Inc.</i> , 506 U.S. 139 (1993) (“ <i>PR Aqueduct</i> ”)	1, 2
<i>R.I. Dep’t of Env’tl. Mgmt. v. United States</i> , 304 F.3d 31 (1st Cir. 2002) (“ <i>RIDEM</i> ”)	1, 2
<i>Root v. Liberty Emergency Physicians, Inc.</i> , 68 F. Supp. 2d 1086 (W.D. Mo. 1999)	6
<i>Shy v. Navistar Int’l Corp.</i> , No. 3:92-CV-333, 2014 WL 1818907 (S.D. Ohio May 7, 2014)	9
<i>Siegert v. Gilley</i> , 500 U.S. 226 (1991)	5
<i>Smart Microwave Sensor GmbH v. Wavetronix LLC</i> , IPR2016-00488, 2017 WL 3669083 (PTAB Aug. 24, 2017) (“ <i>Smart Microwave</i> ”)	8, 10
<i>Sofamor Danek Grp., Inc. v. Brown</i> , 124 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1997) (“ <i>Sofamor</i> ”)	2
<i>Stewart v. Donges</i> , 915 F.2d 572 (10th Cir. 1990)	8

<i>Univ. of Utah v. Max-Planck-Gesellschaft Zur Forderung Der Wissenschaften E.V.</i> , 734 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (“ <i>U. of Utah</i> ”) .....	4, 5
<i>Versata Dev. Grp., Inc. v. SAP Am., Inc.</i> , 793 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>cert. denied</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2510 (2016) (“ <i>Versata</i> ”) .....	4
<i>Wi-Fi One, LLC v. Broadcom Corp.</i> , No. 2015-1944, 2018 WL 313065 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 8, 2018) (en banc) (“ <i>Wi-Fi One</i> ”).....	3
<i>Will v. Hallock</i> , 546 U.S. 345 (2006) .....	2
<i>Williams v. Brooks</i> , 996 F.2d 728 (5th Cir. 1993).....	8, 9

**STATUTES**

28 U.S.C. § 1291 .....	4
28 U.S.C. § 1295(a)(4)(A) .....	3, 5
35 U.S.C. § 313 .....	7

**OTHER AUTHORITIES**

11A Wright & Miller, Federal Practice & Procedure § 3914.10.....	6
Brief for Petitioner, <i>S.C. State Ports Auth. v. Fed. Mar. Comm’n</i> , No. 00-1481, 2000 WL 34241038 (4th Cir. July 20, 2000) (“ <i>FMC Brief</i> ”) .....	3

**REGULATIONS**

37 C.F.R § 42.5(a).....	6
-------------------------	---

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.