

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

1964 EARS, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

JERRY HARVEY AUDIO HOLDING, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01091  
Patent 8,925,674 B2

---

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, RAMA G. ELLURU, and  
JOHN F. HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

HORVATH, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

REVISED EXTENDED SCHEDULING ORDER  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a)*

## A. DUE DATES

This order sets due dates for the parties to take action during the supplemental briefing period of the previously non-instituted ground in this proceeding.<sup>1</sup> The due dates set forth in this Order cannot be changed without prior authorization from the Board.

The parties are reminded that the Testimony Guidelines appended to the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,772 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix D), apply to this proceeding. The Board may impose an appropriate sanction for failure to adhere to the Testimony Guidelines. 37 C.F.R. § 42.12. For example, reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by any party may be levied on a person who impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness.

### 1. DUE DATE 7

The patent owner may file—

a. A supplemental response to the petition (37 C.F.R. § 42.120), limited to the merits of the previously non-instituted grounds, and to 5000 words.

b. A supplemental motion to amend the patent (37 C.F.R. § 42.121), limited to 10 pages and claims 12, 19, and 21.

---

<sup>1</sup> Claims 12 and 19 as obvious over Saggio; claim 21 as obvious over Saggio and Prakash; claims 12 and 19 as obvious over Harvey '806; claim 21 as obvious over Harvey '806 and Prakash; claims 12 and 19 as obvious over Saggio and Dahlquist; and claim 21 as obvious over Saggio, Dahlquist, and Prakash. *See* Paper 52 (determining that “Petitioner has not shown, by a preponderance of evidence, that claims 1, 2, 4, 9, 10, and 13 of the '674 patent are unpatentable as anticipated by Saggio.”).

The patent owner must file any such supplemental response or supplemental motion to amend by DUE DATE 7. The patent owner is cautioned that any arguments for patentability not raised in the supplemental response will be deemed waived.

2. DUE DATE 8

The petitioner must file any reply to the patent owner's supplemental response, limited to 5000 words, and any opposition to the supplemental motion to amend, limited to 10 pages, by DUE DATE 8.

3. DUE DATE 9

The patent owner must file any reply to the petitioner's opposition to the supplemental motion to amend, limited to 5 pages, by DUE DATE 9.

4. DUE DATE 10

The petitioner must file any sur-reply to the patent owner's reply to the opposition to the supplemental motion to amend, limited to 5 pages, by DUE DATE 10.

5. DUE DATE 11

a. Each party must file any motion for an observation on the cross-examination testimony of a supplemental reply witness (*see* section B, below) by DUE DATE 11.

b. Each party must file any motion to exclude evidence in connection with the previously non-instituted grounds (37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c)) and any request for oral argument (37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a)) by DUE DATE 11.

6. DUE DATE 12

a. Each party must file any response to an observation on cross-examination testimony of a supplemental reply witness by DUE DATE 12.

b. Each party must file any opposition to a motion to exclude evidence in connection with the previously non-instituted grounds by DUE DATE 12.

7. DUE DATE 13

Each party must file any reply for a motion to exclude evidence in connection with the previously non-instituted grounds by DUE DATE 13.

8. DUE DATE 14

Oral argument (if requested by either party) is set for DUE DATE 14.

B. CROSS-EXAMINATION

Except as the parties might otherwise agree, for each due date—

1. Cross-examination begins after any supplemental evidence is due. 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(d)(2).

2. Cross-examination ends no later than a week before the filing date for any paper in which the cross-examination testimony is expected to be used. *Id.*

C. MOTION FOR OBSERVATION ON CROSS-EXAMINATION

A motion for observation on cross-examination provides the parties with a mechanism to draw the Board's attention to relevant cross-examination testimony of a reply witness because no further substantive paper is permitted after the reply. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48,756. The observation must be a concise statement of the

IPR2017-01091  
Patent 8,925,674 B2

relevance of precisely identified testimony to a precisely identified argument or portion of an exhibit. Each observation should not exceed a single, short paragraph. The opposing party may respond to the observation. Any response must be equally concise and specific.

#### D: MOTION TO EXCLUDE

A Motion to Exclude should only be used to address admissibility issues under the Federal Rules of Evidence. If a party contends the scope of any paper is beyond its proper scope (e.g., a reply that raises issues not raised in an opposition, or a sur-reply that raises issues not raised in a reply), the party shall initiate a conference call with the Board within 5 business days of the date the paper was filed.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.