

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GRIDCO INC.  
Petitioner

v.

VARENTEC, INC.  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 9,293,922  
Issue Date: Mar. 22, 2016  
Title: Systems And Methods For  
Edge Of Network Voltage Control Of A Power Grid

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2017-01134

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*  
(CLAIMS 1-3, 8-10, AND 15-16 OF PATENT NO. 9,293,922)**

EXHIBIT LIST .....	5
I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 .....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest .....	1
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	1
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	1
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	2
II. INTER PARTES REVIEW FEE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103.....	2
III. CONTENTS OF PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	2
A. Grounds For Standing: 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	2
B. Challenged Claims .....	2
C. Grounds Of Challenge: 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) .....	2
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE '922 PATENT, EXHIBIT 1001.....	4
A. Purported Invention in the '922 patent.....	4
B. Independent Claim 1 .....	5
C. Prosecution History of the '922 patent line.....	5
1. Prosecution history of the '867 patent, Exhibit 1013 .....	5
2. Prosecution history of the '922 patent, Exhibit 1014 .....	6
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	7
A. “at or near the edge of the distribution power network” .....	7
1. “at an edge of the distribution power network” .....	7
2. “at or near the edge of a power distribution network” .....	11
B. “non-continuously monitor the proximate voltage”.....	13
VI. TECHNOLOGY AT ISSUE.....	13
A. Power Distribution Networks.....	13
B. Voltage regulation on Power Distribution Networks.....	15
C. Switched Capacitors .....	17
D. Infighting .....	17
VII.LEVEL OF SKILL AND KNOWLEDGE IN THE ART .....	18
VIII. CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUND FOR UNPATENTABILITY.....	18
A. Ground 1A: Claims 1-2, 8-10, and 15-16 are obvious in view of D’Aquila and the Green Book.....	18
1. Independent claim 1 .....	19
2. The Green Book teaches the time delay approach recited in claim 1 .....	27

3.	D'Aquila provides an explicit reason to look to the Green Book and apply its methods.....	30
4.	Use of the Green Book's time delay method is obvious under KSR even absent D'Aquila's explicit teaching .....	30
5.	Dependent claims 2, 8-10, and 15-16, are likewise unpatentable in view of the D'Aquila and the Green Book .....	31
	a. Dependent claim 2 .....	31
	b. Dependent claim 8 .....	32
	c. Dependent claim 9 .....	32
	d. Dependent claim 10.....	33
	e. Dependent claim 15 .....	34
	f. Dependent claim 16.....	34
B.	Ground 1B: Dependent claim 3 is obvious in view of D'Aquila and the Green Book, further in view of IEEE SVC.....	35
C.	Ground 2A: Claims 1-2, 8-10, and 15-16 are obvious in view of the Green Book and NoMAX .....	36
	1. Independent claim 1 .....	37
	2. The combination of Green Book with NoMAX is obvious as merely applying a known technique to solve a previously recognized problem.....	49
	a. The Green Book recognized the purported problem with the prior art systems.....	49
	b. The Green Book also recognized the claimed solution	51
	3. One of skill would look to NoMAX to provide the details of implementing the known solution and thereby arrive at the claimed invention.....	53
	4. Dependent claims 2, 8-10, and 15-16, are likewise unpatentable in view of the Green Book and NoMAX.....	53
	a. Dependent claim 2 .....	53
	b. Dependent claim 8 .....	54
	c. Dependent claim 9 .....	55
	d. Dependent claim 10.....	56
	e. Dependent claim 15 .....	58
	f. Dependent claim 16.....	59
D.	Ground 2B: Dependent claim 3 is obvious in view of The Green Book and NoMAX and further in view of IEEE SVC.....	60
E.	Ground 3A: Claims 1-2, 8-10, and 15-16 are obvious in view of D'Aquila, further in view of NoMAX .....	61

1.	Independent claim 1: D’Aquila and NoMAX together teach all the elements of claim 1 .....	61
2.	The combination of D’Aquila and NoMAX is an obvious design choice that merely combines prior art elements according to known methods to yield predictable results.....	66
3.	Dependent claims 2, 8-10, and 15-16, are likewise unpatentable in view of the D’Aquila and NoMAX .....	68
	a. Dependent claim 2 .....	68
	b. Dependent claim 8 .....	68
	c. Dependent claim 9 .....	69
	d. Dependent claim 10.....	70
	e. Dependent claim 15 .....	70
	f. Dependent claim 16.....	71
F.	Ground 3B: Dependent claim 3 is obvious in view of D’Aquila and NoMAX, and further in view of IEEE SVC .....	71
IX.	ONE SKILLED IN THE ART WOULD REASONABLY EXPECT SUCCESS IN THE PROPOSED COMBINATIONS IN GROUNDS 1-3.....	72
X.	THERE ARE NO OBJECTIVE INDICIA INDICATING NONOBVIOUSNESS .....	74
	CONCLUSION.....	74

**EXHIBIT LIST**

<b>Ex. #</b>	<b>Exhibit Name</b>	<b>Identifier</b>
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,293,922	the '922 patent
1002	Declaration of Dr. Richard E. Brown, P.E.	Brown
1003	U.S. Patent No. 5,402,057 to D'Aquila	D'Aquila
1004	Electric Utility Engineering Reference Book: Volume 3: Distribution Systems	the Green Book or GB
1005	Static Var Compensation Models for Power Flow and Dynamic Performance Simulation, 9 IEEE Transactions on Power Systems 229, 1994	IEEE SVC
1006	NoMAX Instruction manual 900 Series Switched Capacitor Controls ©2007	NoMAX
1007	Declaration of Christopher Butler of Internet Archive regarding NoMAX	Butler
1008	Declaration of Kimberly A. Bengson of HD Electric Company regarding NoMAX	Bengson
1009	District Court Complaint	Complaint
1010	District Court PI memorandum opinion	PI Decision
1011	Joint Proposed Claim Constructions	Proposed CC
1012	Patent Owner Markman Brief	PO Markman
1013	Excerpted File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,014,867 (App. No. 13/488,330)	the '867 file history
1014	Excerpted File History of U.S. Patent No. 9,293,922 (App. No. 14/659,480)	the '922 file history
1015	U.S. Patent No. 9,014,867	the '867 patent
1016		
1017		
1018		
1019		

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.