

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ONE WORLD TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
d/b/a TECHTRONIC INDUSTRIES POWER EQUIPMENT,  
Petitioner,

v.

THE CHAMBERLAIN GROUP, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases IPR2017-01132 and IPR2017-01137  
Patent 6,998,977 B2

---

Record of Oral Hearing  
Held: June 14, 2018

---

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JUSTIN T. ARBES, and JON M. JURGOVAN,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

Cases IPR2017-01132 and IPR2017-01137  
Patent 6,998,977 B2

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

DION M. BREGMAN, ESQUIRE  
W. SCOTT TESTER, ESQUIRE  
Morgan Lewis  
1400 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 93404

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

W. KARL RENNER, ESQUIRE  
Fish & Richardson, P.C.  
1000 Main Avenue, S.W.  
Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20024

JOSHUA A. GRISWOLD, ESQUIRE  
DAN SMITH, ESQUIRE  
Fish & Richardson, P.C.  
1717 Main Street  
Suite 5000  
Dallas, TX 75201

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, June 14, 2018, commencing at 1 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

PROCEEDINGS

- - - - -

1  
2  
3  
4 JUDGE CHANG: Please be seated. We may take a few minutes to  
5 boot up our computers.

6 MR. BREGMAN: Your Honor, may I pass these up?

7 JUDGE CHANG: Sure. Okay, thank you. Thank you very much.

8 MR. RENNER: Your Honors, may I pass these up?

9 JUDGE CHANG: Yes, that would be great. Is that a copy for the  
10 court reporter?

11 MR. BREGMAN: He has a copy already. Thank you.

12 THE REPORTER: They took care of me early.

13 JUDGE CHANG: Okay. Thank you very much. Good afternoon.  
14 Welcome. I am Administrative Patent Judge Joni Chang and here with me is  
15 Judge Justin Arbes on my right, and Judge Jon Jurgovan is joining us  
16 remotely from Dallas and I just wanted to check whether he can hear us and  
17 also if the mike is working or not. Judge Jurgovan, good afternoon.

18 JUDGE JURGOVAN: I can hear you Joni.

19 JUDGE CHANG: Okay, great. Thank you. And make sure that the  
20 mike on the podium is on when you speak. Judge Jurgovan, can you hear us  
21 at the podium, the counsel?

22 JUDGE JURGOVAN: Yes.

23 JUDGE CHANG: Okay. Thank you. One time I found out that one  
24 of the remote judges couldn't hear, and also one more check is, do you see  
25 us, Judge Jurgovan, or the counsel at the podium?

26 JUDGE JURGOVAN: I see the counsel.

1 JUDGE CHANG: Okay, good, good. Because also there was another  
2 hearing where they were at us and not the counsel, so I just want to make  
3 sure all that is correct. So at this time I would like counsel to introduce  
4 yourselves and your colleagues.

5 MR. BREGMAN: Your Honor, Dion Bregman and Scott Tester for  
6 Petitioners.

7 JUDGE CHANG: Okay. Welcome.

8 MR. RENNER: And, Your Honor, this is Karl Renner and Josh  
9 Griswold, and Dan Smith, for Patent Owner.

10 JUDGE CHANG: Welcome. Thank you so much. This is a  
11 consolidated oral hearing for IPR 2017-01132 and 01137. Both cases are  
12 involved with the same patent, U.S. patent 6,998,977. This oral hearing is  
13 open to the public and a transcript will be entered in both cases usable in  
14 both cases. Please note that the demonstratives are neither evidence nor  
15 substantive weight, rather they are merely visual aids to assist the parties'  
16 presentation, and because Judge Jurgovan is remotely joining us please  
17 speak closely to the mike and only at the mike and also please make sure  
18 that you clearly speak and explicit by the slide number so that way he can  
19 follow us because he can't see the board. So we do have access to all the  
20 files in both cases on our computers so if you're referring to any specific  
21 exhibits in the file or paper in the file, you can let us know also and  
22 consistent with our Prior Order each party has a total of 60 minutes to  
23 present each argument for both cases. If there is a specific argument for one  
24 particular case, please identify the case number so that way we can follow  
25 the transcript later. If it's applied to both, we assume applied to both, okay,  
26 and Petitioner will proceed first with its presentation of the case as to the

1 challenged claims and thereafter the Patent Owner will respond to the  
2 Petitioner's case. Petitioner may reserve a small portion of your time for  
3 rebuttal. Is there any other questions before we begin? No? Okay, any  
4 time.

5 MR. BREGMAN: Actually one question before we begin, Your  
6 Honor. There were two competing lists of -- Patent Owner's had requested a  
7 Motion to Strike. Your Honors had permitted us to submit competing lists  
8 of support for the arguments and for whether it was in rebuttal. I don't know  
9 if you want do discuss that first or if we should just set that aside.

10 JUDGE CHANG: It wasn't a Motion to Strike, it was more of a  
11 listing, right?

12 MR. BREGMAN: Right. Two competing lists.

13 JUDGE CHANG: Yes. We will consider the list and we will respond  
14 to that list when we draft our final written decision. There was not argument  
15 on the list so we did not permit any argument so actually we would like to  
16 not hear any argument.

17 MR. BREGMAN: Okay. Fair enough.

18 JUDGE CHANG: Okay.

19 MR. BREGMAN: Good. Okay, Your Honor. So I'd like to reserve  
20 20 minutes at the end for rebuttal. So why don't I jump right in. So let's just  
21 jump to the '977 patent that we're here to discuss today. You can see here,  
22 I'm on slide 4, that the filing date was June 2003 and that has not been  
23 challenged as the priority date.

24 If we jump to slide No. 8, this is figure 3 from the '977 patent. As you  
25 can see everything yellow with the reference numeral 36 is the network  
26 interface. The network interface in the '977 patent connects to the barrier

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.