

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.
Petitioner

v.

BROADCOM CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case No. Unassigned
Patent 7,720,294

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1-3 AND 9-11 OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 7,720,294

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES, STANDING, AND FEES	1
A. Mandatory Notices.....	1
B. Certification of Grounds for Standing.....	2
C. Fees	2
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '294 PATENT	2
IV. SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART.....	5
A. Nguyen.....	5
B. Wise	7
C. Molloy.....	8
D. Pearson.....	11
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	12
A. “wherein the video decoder executes”	12
VI. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	13
A. Ground 1: The combination of Wise and Nguyen renders Claims 1, 3, 9, and 11 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	14
1. A POSITA would have had a motivation to combine Nguyen and Wise..	14
2. The combination of Nguyen and Wise discloses every element of Claims 1, 3, 9, and 11	18
B. Ground 1A: The combination of Wise, Nguyen, and Molloy renders Claims 2 and 10 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.....	41
1. A POSITA would have had a motivation to combine Wise/Nguyen and Molloy.	41
2. The combination of Wise and Nguyen and Molloy discloses every element of Claims 2 and 10.	43
C. Ground 2: The combination of Nguyen and Molloy renders Claims 1-3 and 9-11 Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.	44
1. A POSITA would have had a motivation to combine Molloy and Nguyen.	

Petition for *IPR* of Claims 1-3 and 9-11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,720,294

2. The combination of Nguyen and Molloy discloses every element of claims 1-3 and 9-11.	47
D. Ground 3: Pearson anticipates Claims 1-3 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 57	
1. Claim 1	57
2. Claim 2	69
3. Claim 3	70
4. Claim 9-11	71
VII. CONCLUSION.....	72

Petition for *IPR* of Claims 1-3 and 9-11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,720,294

LIST OF EXHIBITS

1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,720,294 (the “’294 Patent”)
1002	Prosecution History of the ’294 Patent
1003	Declaration of Dr. Samuel Russ
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,909,744 to Molloy
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,425,054 to Nguyen
1006	U.S. Patent No. 7,236,525 to Pearson
1007	U.S. Patent No. 7,230,986 to Wise
1008	CV of Dr. Samuel Russ

I. INTRODUCTION

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. (“AMD” or “Petitioner”) requests *inter partes* review (“IPR”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.* of Claims 1-3 and 9-11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,720,294 (“’294 Patent”).

Petitioner asserts that there is a reasonable likelihood that the challenged claims are unpatentable and requests review of, and cancellation of, the challenged claims under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES, STANDING, AND FEES

A. Mandatory Notices

Real Party in Interest: Petitioner AMD and ATI Technologies ULC are the real parties-in-interest. ATI Technologies ULC is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of AMD (with 1252986 Alberta ULC being the intervening direct subsidiary of AMD and parent of ATI Technologies ULC).

Related Matters: The ’294 Patent is subject to a pending lawsuit entitled *Broadcom Corp. v. Sony Corp.*, No. 8:16-cv-01052 (C.D. Cal.). Petitioner is not a party to this suit and does not control any party to this suit.

Lead Counsel: Lead Counsel is Brian Oaks (Reg. 44,981) and Back-up Counsel is and Jennifer Nall (Reg. 57,053), each of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Service Information: Baker Botts L.L.P., 98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500, Austin, Texas 78701; Tel. (512) 322-5470; Fax (512) 322-3622. Petitioner

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.