

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ERICSSON INC. and TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,
Petitioner,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA,
Patent Owner.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)¹

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, and
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Revised Motion to Seal
37 C.F.R. § 42.54

¹ These cases have not been joined or consolidated. Rather, this Order governs each case based on common issues. The parties shall not employ this heading style.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

I. INTRODUCTION

Regents of the University of Minnesota (“Patent Owner”) filed a Revised Motion to Seal (*see, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 51 (“Revised Motion” or “Mot.”)) portions of its Sur-reply in each of the captioned cases. For the following reasons, the Revised Motion in each case is *granted*.

II. ANALYSIS

There is a strong public policy that favors making information filed in an *inter partes* review open to the public. *Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 34 at 1–2 (PTAB Mar. 14, 2013). The standard for granting a motion to seal is good cause. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. That standard includes showing that the information addressed in the motion to seal is confidential. *Garmin*, Paper 34 at 2–3. Further, the parties are encouraged to redact confidential information, where possible, rather than seeking to seal entire documents. Consolidated Trial Practice Guide 22 (Nov. 2019), *available at* <https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/tpgnov.pdf?MURL> (“TPG”).

Patent Owner previously filed motions to seal portions of its Sur-replies. *See, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 41. We denied that motion without prejudice because Patent Owner did not explain sufficiently why the information in its Sur-replies is confidential. *See, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 46, 2–3.

In the Revised Motion, Patent Owner explains that portions of its Sur-replies address portions of Exhibits 2012–2015 that include “confidential,

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

commercially-sensitive acquisition, purchase, and/or sales agreements between Petitioner Ericsson Inc. and third-party wireless carriers.” Mot. 3. With the Revised Motion, Patent Owner filed revised public versions of its Sur-replies (*see, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 52) that redact only the confidential information therein. After considering the Revised Motion, we determine that Patent Owner shows sufficiently that the identified information in its Sur-replies should be sealed pursuant to the Protective Order previously entered in these cases.

III. ORDER

It is hereby

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Revised Motion in each of the captioned cases is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the confidential versions of the Sur-Replies (IPR2017-01186, Paper 42; IPR2017-01197, Paper 41; IPR2017-01200, Paper 43; IPR2017-01213, Paper 41; IPR2017-01214, Paper 41; IPR2017-01219, Paper 42) are sealed; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the original public versions of the Sur-replies (IPR2017-01186, Paper 43; IPR2017-01197, Paper 42; IPR2017-01200, Paper 44; IPR2017-01213, Paper 42; IPR2017-01214, Paper 42; IPR2017-01219, Paper 43) are expunged.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

PETITIONER:

J. Andrew Lowes
John Russell Emerson
Greg Webb
Clint Wilkins
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
andrew.lowes.ipr@haynesboone.com
russ.emerson@haynesboone.com
greg.webb.ipr@haynesboone.com
clint.wilkins.ipr@haynesboone.com

PATENT OWNER:

W. Karl Renner
Lawrence K. Kolodney
Christopher Hoff
Andrew B. Patrick
Andrew Dommer
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
kolodney@fr.com
hoff@fr.com
patrick@fr.com
dommer@fr.com