

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ERICSSON INC. and TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,
Petitioner,

v.

REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA,
Patent Owner.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)¹

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, and
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ These cases have not been joined or consolidated. Rather, this Order governs each case based on common issues. The parties shall not employ this heading style.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

I. ANALYSIS

Petitioner previously filed motions to seal Exhibit 1065 and portions of its Reply in each of the captioned cases. *See, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 37. We denied that motion without prejudice because 1) Petitioner did not explain sufficiently why the information in Exhibit 1065 and its Replies is confidential; and 2) certain information in Exhibit 1065 appeared to be public information. *See, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 45, 3. Rather than file a revised motion to seal, Petitioner filed entirely public versions of Exhibit 1065 and its Replies. *See, e.g.*, IPR2017-01186, Paper 47. As a result, the original sealed versions of Exhibit 1065 and the original sealed and redacted versions of the Replies can be expunged from the record in the captioned cases.

Also, we previously issued a sealed Decision Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review in each of the captioned cases. We requested that the parties jointly submit redacted public versions of the Decisions, and the parties did so via email. We find it appropriate to enter the redacted public versions of the Decisions in each of the captioned cases.

II. ORDER

It is hereby

FURTHER ORDERED that the original sealed versions of the Replies (IPR2017-01186, Paper 38; IPR2017-01197, Paper 37; IPR2017-01200, Paper 39; IPR2017-01213, Paper 37; IPR2017-01214, Paper 37; IPR2017-01219, Paper 38) are expunged;

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

FURTHER ORDERED that the original public versions of the Replies (IPR2017-01186, Paper 39; IPR2017-01197, Paper 38; IPR2017-01200, Paper 40; IPR2017-01213, Paper 38; IPR2017-01214, Paper 38; IPR2017-01219, Paper 39) are expunged;

FURTHER ORDERED that the original sealed versions of Exhibit 1065 are expunged; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a redacted public version of the Decision Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review is entered in the record.

IPR2017-01186 (Patent 8,774,309 B2)
IPR2017-01197 (Patent 7,251,768 B2)
IPR2017-01200 (Patent 8,718,185 B2)
IPR2017-01213 (Patent 8,588,317 B2)
IPR2017-01214 (Patent RE45,230 E)
IPR2017-01219 (Patent RE45,230 E)

PETITIONER:

J. Andrew Lowes
John Russell Emerson
Greg Webb
Clint Wilkins
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
andrew.lowes.ipr@haynesboone.com
russ.emerson@haynesboone.com
greg.webb.ipr@haynesboone.com
clint.wilkins.ipr@haynesboone.com

PATENT OWNER:

W. Karl Renner
Lawrence K. Kolodney
Christopher Hoff
Andrew B. Patrick
Andrew Dommer
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
kolodney@fr.com
hoff@fr.com
patrick@fr.com
dommer@fr.com