UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASPHALT PRODUCTS UNLIMITED, INC., Petitioner,

v.

BLACKLIDGE EMULSIONS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01241 (Patent 7,503,724 B2) Case IPR2017-01242 (Patent 7,918,624 B2)

Record of Oral Hearing Held: August 8, 2018

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, JAMES A. TARTAL, and TIMOTHY J. GOODSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



Case IPR2017-01241 (Patent 7,503,724 B2) Case IPR2017-01242 (Patent 7,918,624 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

MICHAEL K. LEACHMAN, ESQUIRE Jones Walker LLP 8555 United Plaza Boulevard, Suite 500 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809 225-248-2420 mleachman@joneswalker.com

ROBERT L. WADDELL, ESQUIRE Jones Walker LLP 600 Jefferson Street, Suite 1600 Lafayette, Louisiana 70501 337-593-7600 rwaddell@joneswalker.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JOHN F. TRIGGS, ESQUIRE
SETH R. OGDEN, ESQUIRE
RYAN D. LEVY, ESQUIRE
WILLIAM E. SEKYI, ESQUIRE
MARK KILGORE, ESQUIRE
Patterson Intellectual Property Law, P.C
1600 Division Street, Suite 500
Nashville, Tennessee 37203
615-242-2400
jft@iplawgroup.com
sro@iplawgroup.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, August 8, 2018, commencing at 1 pm ET, at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



Case IPR2017-01241 (Patent 7,503,724 B2) Case IPR2017-01242 (Patent 7,918,624 B2)

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	1:03 p.m.
4	JUDGE WEATHERLY: My name is Mitch Weatherly. I'm
5	one of the judges on the panel. I'm joined by Judge Tartal and Judge
6	Goodson in our San Jose office.
7	This is a hearing for two proceedings, IPR2017-01241 and
8	IPR2017-01242, relating to U.S. Patent Nos. 7,503,724 and
9	7,918,624, respectively.
10	Petitioner is Asphalt Products Unlimited, Incorporated, and
11	the Patent Owner is Blacklidge Emulsions, Inc.
12	During your presentations, please be sure to mention the slide
13	number that you're on. It will assist Judge Goodson in following
14	along with the presentation. It will also make the record clearer for
15	the panel later on when we're considering that record in the course of
16	rendering a final written decision.
17	Pursuant to our Hearing Order, each party has 60 minutes to
18	present its argument. Because of the similarity presented in the two
19	cases, we're going to conduct the hearings simultaneously or serially,
20	however you would like to say it, for both cases.
21	Patent Owner filed a Motion to Amend in both proceedings.
22	So, we would ask Petitioner to open the hearing by presenting its case
23	regarding the patentability of any claim at issue in the proceedings,



Case	IPR2017	-01241	(Patent	7,503,724	B2)
Case	IPR2017	-01242	(Patent	7,918,624	B2)

1	including the original claims and any claims proposed by Patent			
2	Owner's Motion to Amend.			
3	Patent Owner, you'll have an opportunity to respond to			
4	Petitioner's argument and, also, to argue in support of your Motion to			
5	Amend.			
6	Petitioner, you can reserve time to respond to arguments			
7	presented by the Patent Owner during their presentation.			
8	Before we start, I'd like to have everyone introduce			
9	themselves, including anyone you may have brought with you. And			
10	we'll start with Petitioner.			
11	MR. LEACHMAN: Michael Leachman, and I'm here with			
12	my colleague Robert Waddell. We're here on behalf of Petitioner			
13	Asphalt Products Unlimited.			
14	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Mr. Leachman, who's going to be			
15	making the presentations?			
16	MR. LEACHMAN: I will, Your Honor.			
17	JUDGE WEATHERLY: All right. Thank you very much.			
18	And Patent Owner?			
19	MR. TRIGGS: Good afternoon. Yes, good afternoon, Your			
20	Honor.			
21	John Triggs. I represent the Petitioner. I'll be making the			
22	argument. And my colleagues that are with me today the Patent			
23	Owner. Excuse me, Your Honor. The Patent Owner. And I'll be			
24	representing the Patent Owner here today. And my colleagues are			



Case IPR2017-01241 (Patent 7,503,724 B2) Case IPR2017-01242 (Patent 7,918,624 B2)

1	Seth Ogden, William Sekyi, Ryan Levy, and Mark Kilgore, all of
2	Patterson Intellectual Property Law.
3	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Excellent. Thank you.
4	MR. TRIGGS: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Petitioner, how much time would
6	you like to reserve for rebuttal?
7	MR. LEACHMAN: Your Honor, 15 minutes for rebuttal.
8	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Okay. Great.
9	So, anytime you're ready, you can come to the podium and
10	begin. I'll start the time when you start.
11	MR. LEACHMAN: Would you like me to pass out the
12	demonstratives before?
13	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Sure.
14	JUDGE TARTAL: Thank you.
15	JUDGE WEATHERLY: Anytime you're ready.
16	MR. LEACHMAN: Both the 724 and 624 patents are
17	directed to using a low-tracking or no-tracking tack coat in highway
18	construction. The 724 patent in the summary section, second
19	sentence, specifies that the goal is to produce a tack coating with a pen
20	value and a softening point in the range of hard pen asphalt
21	compositions. That's in column 4, at lines 60 to 62.
22	In the application, and as well as in the 724 patent, it was
23	represented that the invention was the use of hard pen asphalt
24	compositions to produce these tack coats that reflect the properties of



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

