UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Petitioner,

v.

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION and DSI-ITI, LLC, ¹ Patent Owners.

PGR2016-00044 (Patent 9,225,838), CBM2017-00034 (Patent 7,783,021), IPR2017-01177 (Patent 9,521,250), IPR2017-01279 (Patent 9,509,856), PGR2017-00005 (Patent 9,307,386), IPR2017-01606 (Patent 7,123,704), IPR2017-02169 (Patent 7,826,604), IPR2017-02203 (Patent 6,895,086)²

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, MICHAEL W. KIM, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, BARBARA A. BENOIT, GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, and MATTHEW S. MEYERS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TURNER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION

Granting Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings Pursuant to Settlement 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72–42.74

¹ The parties acknowledge that DSI-ITI, LLC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Global Tel*Link Corporation.

 $^{^2}$ We use this caption in this paper to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, all of the indicated cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

On December 6, 2017, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a), the parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate in each of the above cited proceedings. *See* Appendix ("Joint Motion"). Along with the Joint Motion, the parties filed a Settlement Agreement (Ex. 2100), ³ and a Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information, in each proceeding. *See* Appendix ("Joint Request"). We authorized the above filings in an email sent to the parties on December 4, 2017.

The parties represent that they have settled their disputes and memorialized their settlement in the written Settlement Agreement submitted in each case. In the Joint Motion, the parties also represent that the Settlement Agreement resolves all disputes between the parties in the post grant proceedings and the related lawsuits. The Settlement Agreement appears to be a true copy of the agreement between the parties, specifies the instant proceedings, and identifies other matters that are to be settled between the parties. Ex. 2100. On this record, no motion by any third party for joinder with these reviews is pending.

The proceedings are at different stages, with some cases having a trial instituted therein, and in other cases where no decision on institution has yet been made. Although at different stages, upon consideration of the facts before us and the arguments presented in the Joint Motion, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the proceedings with respect to both parties. *See* 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74.

³ The Settlement Agreement was filed with the same exhibit number in each of the above-listed proceedings.

Therefore, the Joint Motion is granted. This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 318(a) or 328(a).

ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings in PGR2016-00044, CBM2017-00034, IPR2017-01177, IPR2017-01279, PGR2017-00005, IPR2017-01606, IPR2017-02169, and IPR2017-02203 is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Agreements, Exhibit 2100 in each proceeding, be treated as business confidential information, be designated "Board Only," and be kept separate from the file of the involved patent under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(b), 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

APPENDIX

CASE	Joint Motion	Joint Request
PGR2016-00044	Paper 39	Paper 40
CBM2017-00034	Paper 24	Paper 25
IPR2017-01177	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-01279	Paper 9	Paper 10
PGR2017-00005	Paper 20	Paper 21
IPR2017-01606	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-02169	Paper 5	Paper 6
IPR2017-02203	Paper 5	Paper 6

FOR PETITIONER:

Erika H. Arner Brandon S. Bludau Justin N. Mullen Benjamin A. Saidman Jason E. Stach Daniel C. Tucker Guang-Yu Zhu FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP erika.arner@finnegan.com brandon.bludau@finnegan.com justin.mullen@finnegan.com benjamin.saidman@finnegan.com jason.stach@finnegan.com daniel.tucker@finnegan.com yu.zhu@finnegan.com guang-yu.zhu@finnegan.com

Jeffrey R. Bragalone Brian P. Herrmann Justin B. Kimble Nicholas C. Kliewer Daniel F. Olejko Jerry D. Tice BRAGALONE CONROY P.C. jbragalone@bcpc-law.com bherrmann@bcpc-law.com jkimble-ipr@bcpc-law.com jkimble@bcpc-law.com nkliewer@bcpc-law.com dolejko@bcpc-law.com

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.