#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

# EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION, EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES LLC, AND EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES AG Petitioners

**V** .

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2017-\_\_\_\_\_ Patent 8,992,608

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF

U.S. PATENT NO. 8,992,608

UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			<u>Page</u>
I.	OVERVIE	W OF PETITION	1
II.		ANT PETITION IS DISTINCT FROM EDWARDS' PETITION, AND SHOULD BE INSTITUTED	
III.	STATE OF A. B.	F THE ART AT THE TIME OF THE INVENTION Stent Structures Were Well Known as of June 2004 Fabric Seals for Use with THVs Were Well Known	11
		as of June 2004	
		a. Lazarus (1993)	
		b. Lunn (1994)	
		c. Thornton (1996)	
		d. Lawrence-Brown (2001)	
		e. Cook (2002)	
		f. Schlick (2003)	24
	C.	Commissure Supports and Valves Attached Thereto Were Well Known in THV Designs as of June 2004	25
IV.	MANDAT	ORY NOTICES	28
_ , ,	A.	Real Party-In-Interest	
	B.	Related Matters	
	C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel	
	D.	Service Information	29
V.	REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW29		
••	A.	Grounds for Standing	
	B.	Identification of Challenge	
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '608 Patent		
, 1,	A.	The '608 Patent's Claimed Invention	
	В.	Boston Scientific Has Recently Taken the Position that Seals with "Sacs" Are Not Mutually Exclusive from Seals with "Flaps" and "Pockets"	32



## Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,992,608

	C.	Issuance of the '608 Patent	36
	D.	Claim Construction	37
	E.	The '608 Patent Should Be Afforded a Priority Date No Earlier than November 24, 2008, Because the Grandparent of the '608 Patent Does Not Adequately Describe the Claims of the '608 Patent	39
VII.	ORDINAR	RY SKILL IN THE ART	44
VIII.	IDENTIFIC	CATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS AF	
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-9 are invalid under 35 U.S.C.	
	11.	§ 102(b) over Haug (Ex. 1135)	44
		1. Claim 1 (Preamble)	45
		2. Element 1.1	
		3. Elements 1.2-1.3	
		4. Element 1.4	
		5. Element 1.5	
		6. Element 1.6	
		7. Element 1.7	
		8. Element 1.8	
		9. Element 1.9	
		10. Claims 2-3	
		11. Claim 4	
		12. Claims 5-6	
		13. Claims 7-9	
	В.	Ground 2: Claims 1-4 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Seguin (Ex. 1150; 1153) in view of Lazarus (Ex. 1147) and Lawrence-Brown (Ex. 1149)	
		Lazarus (LA. 1147) and Lawrence-Diown (LA. 1149).	0
		1. Claim 1 Preamble	50
		2. Element 1.1	
		3. Elements 1.2-1.3	51
		4. Element 1.4	54
		5. Element 1.5	55
		6. Element 1.6	



## Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,992,608

	7.	Element 1.7	66
	8.	Element 1.8	67
	9.	Element 1.9	68
	10.	Claims 2-3	69
	11.	Claim 4	72
IV	CONCLUSION		72



## **EXHIBIT LIST**

U.S. Patent No. 8,992,608 to Haug et al.	
File History of U.S. Application No. 12/492,512	
WO 98/29057 to Cribier <i>et al</i> .	
WO 03/047468 to Spenser <i>et al</i> .	
U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0236567 to Elliot	
U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0082989 to Cook et al.	
First Declaration of Dr. Nigel Buller, Originally Submitted in IPR2017-00060	
Alain Cribier <i>et al.</i> , "Early experience with percutaneous transcatheter implantation of heart valve prosthesis for the treatment of end-stage inoperable patients with calcific aortic stenosis," J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 43(4): 698-703 (2004).	
U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2001/0039450 to Pavcnik et al.	
U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2004/0033364 to Spiridigliozzi et al.	
U.S. Patent No. 3,365,728 to Edwards	
Charles T. Dotter, "Transluminally-Placed Coilspring Endarterial Tube Grafts," Investigative Radiology, 329-32 (1969).	
Frank Ing, "Stents: What's Available to the Pediatric Interventional Cardiologist?" Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions 57:274-386 (2002).	
U.S. Patent No. 6,206,911 to Milo	
Excerpts from Vossoughi et al., Stent Graft Update (2000)	
Excerpts from Dolmatch et al., Stent Grafts: Current Clinical Practice (1999)	
Andersen et al., "Transluminal implantation of artificial heart valves. Description of a new expandable aortic valve and initial results with implantation by catheter technique in closed chest pigs," European Heart Journal, 13:704-08 (1992).	
U.S. Patent No. 5,411,552 to Andersen et al.	
U.S. Patent No. 6,015,431 to Thornton et al.	



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

