

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01306  
Patent 8,775,661 B2

---

Before GREGG I. ANDERSON, JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, and  
JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ANDERSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Motions for Admission

*Pro Hac Vice* — Jordan Hirsch and Stephen M. Ullmer

*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Petitioner, Akamai Technologies, Inc., moves for admission *pro hac vice* of Jordan Hirsch. Paper 16. Petitioner filed a supporting declaration of Mr. Hirsch. Ex. 1010.

Patent Owner, Limelight Networks, Inc., moves for admission *pro hac vice* of Stephen Ullmer. Paper 17. Patent Owner filed a supporting declaration of Mr. Ullmer. Ex. 2006.

Neither party opposes the other's motion.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding.

Upon review of the motions and supporting evidence, we determine that the parties have demonstrated, in their respective motions, that Jordan Hirsch and Stephen M. Ullmer have sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner and Patent Owner, respectively, in this proceeding. We also recognize the need to have them involved as backup counsel. Accordingly, the parties have established good cause for admitting Jordan Hirsch and Stephen M. Ullmer.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner's Unopposed Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Jordan Hirsch is *granted*;

Case IPR2017-01306  
Patent 8,775,661 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner's Unopposed Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Stephen M. Ullmer Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner and Patent Owner are each to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Jordan Hirsch and Stephen M. Ullmer are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

Case IPR2017-01306  
Patent 8,775,661 B2

PETITIONER:

Grant K. Rowan  
Mary V. Sooter  
Peter Dichiara  
Zachary Piccolomini  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP  
grant.rowan@wilmerhale.com  
mindy.sooter@wilmerhale.com  
peter.dichiara@wilmerhale.com  
zachary.piccolomini@wilmerhale.com

David H. Judson  
mail@davidjudson.com

PATENT OWNER:

Barry Schindler  
Heath Briggs  
Lennie Bersh  
Vimal Kapadia  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
schindlerb@gtlaw.com  
briggsh@gtlaw.com  
bershl@gtlaw.com  
kapadiav@gtlaw.com