

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2017-00349  
Patent 8,307,088 B2

IPR2018-00309  
Patent 8,484,319 B2

IPR2018-00310  
Patent 8,194,538 B2

IPR2018-00359  
Patent 8,458,769 B2

---

IPR2017-00249, regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,693,959 B2  
IPR2017-00349, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,307,088 B2  
IPR2017-01306, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,775,661 B2  
IPR2017-01322, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,645,539 B2  
IPR2018-00309, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,484,319 B2  
IPR2018-00310, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,194,538 B2  
IPR2018-00359, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,458,769 B2

LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2017-00249  
Patent 7,693,959 B2

---

AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

LIMELIGHT NETWORKS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2017-01306  
Patent 8,775,661 B2

IPR2017-01322  
Patent 8,645,539 B2

---

IPR2017-00249, regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,693,959 B2  
IPR2017-00349, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,307,088 B2  
IPR2017-01306, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,775,661 B2  
IPR2017-01322, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,645,539 B2  
IPR2018-00309, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,484,319 B2  
IPR2018-00310, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,194,538 B2  
IPR2018-00359, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,458,769 B2

Before JAMES B. ARPIN, GREGG I. ANDERSON, JENNIFER MEYER  
CHAGNON, and JASON W. MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.<sup>1</sup>

MELVIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

## ORDER

*Terminating Trials and Dismissing Petitions*  
35 U.S.C. § 317; 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.71(a), 42.72, 42.74(c)

---

<sup>1</sup> Although the panels of these cases collectively include four judges, no case has been expanded to more than three judges.

IPR2017-00249, regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,693,959 B2  
IPR2017-00349, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,307,088 B2  
IPR2017-01306, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,775,661 B2  
IPR2017-01322, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,645,539 B2  
IPR2018-00309, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,484,319 B2  
IPR2018-00310, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,194,538 B2  
IPR2018-00359, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,458,769 B2

On April 9, 2018, the parties in the above-captioned cases contacted the Board by email, requesting authorization to file motions to terminate the following IPR proceedings:

IPR2017-00249, regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,693,959  
IPR2017-00349, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,307,088  
IPR2018-00309, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,484,319  
IPR2018-00310, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,194,538  
IPR2018-00359, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,458,769  
IPR2017-01322, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,645,539  
IPR2017-01306, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,775,661

By email of April 10, 2018, the Board authorized the requested motions and further authorized requests to treat the parties' settlement agreements as confidential under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

The parties filed a joint motion to terminate and request to keep separate in each case: IPR2017-00249 (Paper 50); IPR2017-00349 (Paper 46); IPR2018-00309 (Paper 7); IPR2018-00310 (Paper 7); IPR2018-00359 (Paper 7); IPR2017-01306 (Paper 22); and IPR2017-01322 (Paper 19). With the motions, the parties filed a Settlement Agreement and confirmed that “[n]o other such agreements, written or oral, exist between or among the parties” and that “[t]here are no other agreements, oral or written, between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.” *E.g.*, IPR2017-00249, Paper 50, 2; *see* 35 U.S.C. § 317(b).

IPR2017-00249, regarding U.S. Patent No. 7,693,959 B2  
IPR2017-00349, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,307,088 B2  
IPR2017-01306, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,775,661 B2  
IPR2017-01322, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,645,539 B2  
IPR2018-00309, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,484,319 B2  
IPR2018-00310, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,194,538 B2  
IPR2018-00359, regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,458,769 B2

For IPR2017-00249 and IPR2017-00349, an oral hearing has already occurred and final decisions are due May 18 and 30, 2018, respectively. We have considered the public interest in proceeding to final decision on patentability as compared to the counterbalancing public interest in resolving disputes by settlement between the parties. In this instance, the promotion of settlement of these two cases and five other *inter partes* reviews as part of a global settlement outweighs the value of proceeding to final decision. Thus, for these proceedings, we grant the motion. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. We also grant the request to treat the settlement agreement filed in each case as business confidential information, as authorized under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

For IPR2017-01306 and IPR2017-01322, a trial has been instituted, but briefing has not been completed and an oral hearing has not occurred. As these proceedings are at a sufficiently early stage, we grant the motion. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72. We also grant the request to treat the settlement agreement filed in each case as business confidential information, as authorized under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

For IPR2018-00309, IPR2018-00310, and IPR2018-00359, we have not determined whether to institute review, thus, there is no IPR proceeding to terminate. *Cf.* 35 U.S.C. § 317 (applying to “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter”). We interpret the parties’ motion in those proceedings as a request to dismiss the respective petition. We grant that request, as authorized under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a). We also grant the request

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.