UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
_____

BITDEFENDER, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

UNILOC USA, INC.,
Patent Owner.
_____

Case IPR2017-01315
Patent 6,510,466 B1
_____

Record of Oral Hearing
Held: August 7, 2018
_____

Before MIRIAM L. QUINN, ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, and
JESSICA C. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ANDREI POPOVICI
Law Office of Andrei Popovoci
4030 Moorpark Ave, Suite 108
San Jose, California 95117
650-530-9989

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

BRETT MANGRUM
Mangrum Law Group
2001 Ross Avenue
Dallas, Texas 75201
214-953-6989

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, August 7, 2018, commencing at 12:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Texas Regional Office, 207 S. Houston Street, Suite 159, Dallas, Texas 75202.

1   P R O C E E D I N G S

2   -   -   -   -   -

3   (WHEREUPON, the following was transcribed from an

4   audio recording, as follows:)

5   JUDGE QUINN: Hello, everybody. You can have a

6   seat. I have to check on a colleague of mine who had a

7   computer malfunction at the best possible time. Amazing.

8   Okay. Can you hear me in Alexandria?

9   JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Yes, we can hear you.

10   JUDGE QUINN: Okay. Can you have them put you on

11   screen.

12   JUDGE WEINSCHENK: Okay.

13   JUDGE QUINN: Same thing for Denver. Hello.

14   Okay. Welcome.

15   JUDGE KAISER: Can you hear me now?

16   JUDGE QUINN: Yes, I can hear you.

17   JUDGE KAISER: Great. Well, good afternoon.

18   I just wanted to first check and make sure the

19   court reporter can hear the locations.

20   THE DIGITAL REPORTER: Yes.

21   JUDGE KAISER: Sounds like he did hear me in

22   Denver.

23   JUDGE QUINN: Yes, he can hear you.

24   JUDGE KAISER: Okay. This is an oral hearing for

25   IPR2017-01315, between petitioner, Bitdefender, Inc., and

26   the owner of US patent number 6,510,466, Uniloc USA, Inc.

1   Before I begin, just had a few administrative

2   matters I wanted to go over. I am Judge Kaiser, and I am in

3   Denver, Colorado. Judge Miriam Quinn is there with you in

4   Dallas, Texas, and Judge Robert Weinschenk is in Alexandria,

5   Virginia.

6   Because Judge Weinschenk and I are participating

7   remotely, and so that the record is clear, please identify

8   which demonstrative exhibit or other paper number you are

9   referring to during this proceeding. Judge Weinschenk and I

10   will not be able to see the screen that's in the hearing

11   room there, but we have all the documents electronically,

12   and so as long as you identify where you are in the record,

13   we will be able to follow along with you.

14   As you know, per our order, each party has 30

15   minutes to present their argument for this proceeding.

16   Because petitioner has the burden of showing unpatentability

17   of the claims, petitioner will proceed first, followed by

18   patent owner. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time;

19   however, petitioner may only use that time to rebut patent

20   owner's arguments. Judge Quinn will be keeping the time in

21   the hearing room there in Dallas with you.

22   One other initial matter, we received both parties

23   objections to the other side's demonstrative exhibits via

24   e-mail. And we reviewed the demonstratives themselves, as

25   well as the parties' objections. Those objections are

26   overruled.

1   But as we noted in the -- and as we noted in the

2   oral hearing order, demonstrative exhibits are not evidence,

3   but a merely visual aid for this oral hearing.

4   We do note that patent owner's objections related

5   to its contentions that certain slides present new arguments

6   or evidence from petitioner's Institution response brief.

7   As was stated in the e-mail to the parties on June 8,

8   patent owner may still raise its objections to that

9   Institution response brief at this oral hearing. And we

10   reserve ruling on whether petitioner's Institution response

11   brief comported with our May 25 order that authorized that

12   brief. For purposes of this hearing, however, petitioner is

13   allowed to present its demonstratives related to that brief.

14   Let's see. At this time, let's have counsel

15   introduce yourselves, beginning with

16   petitioner, please.

17   MR. POPOVOCI: Andrei Popovoci, counsel for

18   petitioner, Bitdefender, Inc., and with me today is

19   Mihai Murgulescu, local co-counsel.

20   JUDGE QUINN: I think you want to speak louder.

21   MR. POPOVOCI: Can you hear me now?

22   JUDGE QUINN: Is the light on?

23   JUDGE KAISER: Perhaps if you go to the podium, it

24   might be easier.

25   MR. POPOVOCI: Andrei Popovoci, counsel for

26   petitioner, Bitdefender, Inc. With me today is co-counsel,

Explore Litigation Insights

DOCKET ALARM

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

fastcase®
Smarter legal research.