

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DALI WIRELESS INC.  
Petitioner

v.

COMMSCOPE TECHNOLOGIES LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Patent No. 7,848,747

Issued: December 7, 2010

Filed: October 27, 2009

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,848,747  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET SEQ.**

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	2
A. Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R §42.8(b)(1)).....	2
B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R §42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R §42.8(b)(3)).....	2
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R §42.8(b)(4)) .....	2
E. Power of Attorney (37 C.F.R. §42.10(b)) .....	3
III. PAYMENT OF FEES .....	3
IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW .....	3
A. Grounds for Standing .....	3
B. Identification of Challenge.....	4
C. Principal Grounds of Unpatentability Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 .....	4
1. The Specific Art and Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge is Based.....	4
2. How the Construed Claims are Unpatentable under the Statutory Grounds Identified in 37 C.F.R. §42.204(b)(2) and Supporting Evidence Relied Upon to Support the Challenge. ....	5
V. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE CLAIMS OF THE '747 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	6
A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '747 Patent .....	6
B. Declaration .....	8
C. The Prior Art .....	8
VI. LEGAL DETERMINATIONS.....	10
A. Broadest Reasonable Interpretation (BRI).....	10
B. POSITA.....	10

C.	BRI of Claim Terms to POSITAs .....	10
1.	Broadband .....	10
2.	Analog to Digital Converter Circuit(s) .....	10
3.	Multiplexer Circuits .....	10
VII.	CLAIM-BY-CLAIM EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS OF UNPATENTABILITY .....	11
A.	Bellers and Farhan .....	11
B.	Bellers and Grace .....	11
C.	Ichiyoshi and Farhan .....	12
D.	Ground 1 .....	13
E.	Ground 2 .....	64
F.	Ground 3 .....	82
VIII.	CONCLUSION .....	97
IX.	CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT .....	98
X.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	99

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>
<b>1001</b>	U.S. Patent No. 7,848,747
<b>1002</b>	Declaration Under 37 CFR § 42.53 of Harry Bims, Ph.D.
<b>1003</b>	<i>Curriculum Vitae</i> of Harry Bims, Ph.D.
<b>1004</b>	Prosecution history of Application 11/398,879
<b>1005</b>	Prosecution history of U.S. Patent No. 7,848,747
<b>1006</b>	U.S. Patent No. 8,446,530 to Bellers (“Bellers”)
<b>1007</b>	International Patent No. WO 01/56197 A2 to Farhan (“Farhan”)
<b>1008</b>	IEEE JSAC Article to Grace (“Grace”)
<b>1009</b>	U.S. Patent 6,014,366 to Ichiyoshi (“Ichiyoshi”)

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42.100, the undersigned, on behalf of and acting in a representative capacity for petitioner Dali Wireless (“Petitioner”), hereby petitions for *Inter Partes* review of Claims 1-17 (all claims) of U.S. Patent No. 7,848,747 (“the ’747 Patent”).

The challenged claims simply restate and combine old and well-known aspects of signal transport systems and distributed antenna systems. The claims comprise obvious predictable combinations of foundational signal transport concepts and components existing and in use decades prior. In fact, all the features and functionality claimed as innovative in the ’747 Patent were readily available to one of ordinary skill in the art. The prosecution history acknowledged as much: the Examiner correctly found, and the applicant did not dispute, that prior art disclosed vast majority of the claimed features. The ’747 Patent only received allowance based on the alleged novelty of a few select features. However, even these allegedly novel features were long known in the art, and were disclosed in art that was not reviewed during prosecution. In view of the prior art identified below, Petitioner respectfully requests a determination that all challenged claims are obvious and unpatentable.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.