

Case IPR 2017-01345
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent 7,392,543

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZSCALER, INC.
Petitioner

v.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,
PATENT OWNER

Case IPR 2017-01345
Patent No. 7,392,543

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 7,392,543
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
A. Description of the Alleged Invention of the '543 Patent	1
B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	4
C. Prosecution History	4
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	7
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	7
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	7
1. Grounds for Challenge	7
2. How the Challenged Claims Are To Be Construed Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 (b)	8
IV. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	14
A. Ground 1: Claims 1-3, 5-8, 20, 22, 26, and 29-31 are anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102 by Arnold.....	14
1. Claim 1	16
2. Claim 30	29
3. Claims 2 and 7.....	30
4. Claims 3 and 8.....	31
5. Claim 5	32
6. Claim 6	33
7. Claim 31	35
8. Claim 20	35
9. Claim 29	40
10. Claim 22	41
11. Claim 26	41
B. Ground 2: Claims 4, 9-19, and 21 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Arnold and the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.....	42

1. Claims 4 and 9.....	43
2. Claim 10	44
3. Claims 11, 12, 14, and 15.....	46
4. Claims 13 and 16.....	47
5. Claim 17.....	48
6. Claim 18	49
7. Claim 19.....	49
8. Claim 21	50
C. Ground 3: Claims 20 and 29 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Arnold and Nachenberg '008.....	50
D. Ground 4: Claims 23-25 and 27-28 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 in view of Arnold and White.....	53
V. SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS.....	55
VI. THE OFFICE DID NOT PREVIOUSLY CONSIDER THE GROUNDS PRESENTED IN THIS PETITION	55
VII. NOTICES, STATEMENTS AND PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	56
A. Real Party In Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	56
B. Pending Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	56
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	57
D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	57
E. Fees Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	57
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	58

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
Exhibit 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,392,543 to Szor (“the ’543 patent”)
Exhibit 1002	’543 patent File History excerpt, February 26, 2007 Information Disclosure Statement
Exhibit 1003	’543 patent File History excerpt, May 4, 2007 Non-Final Rejection
Exhibit 1004	’543 patent File History excerpt, June 5, 2007 Amendment
Exhibit 1005	’543 patent File History excerpt, August 20, 2007 Final Rejection
Exhibit 1006	’543 patent File History excerpt, Appeal Brief
Exhibit 1007	’543 patent File History excerpt, Notice of Allowability
Exhibit 1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,440,723 to Arnold, et al. (“Arnold”)
Exhibit 1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,357,008 to Nachenberg (“Nachenberg ’008”)
Exhibit 1010	White <i>et al.</i> , “Anatomy of a Commercial-Grade Immune System,” (June 1999) (“White”)
Exhibit 1011	Declaration of Professor Erez Zadok, PhD in Support of Petition for <i>inter partes</i> review
Exhibit 1012	<i>Curriculum vitae</i> of Professor Erez Zadok
Exhibit 1013	Excerpt of Microsoft’s Computer Dictionary, 5 th edition (2002), Definition of Packet
Exhibit 1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,228,563 to Szor (“Szor ’563”)
Exhibit 1015	U.S. Patent No. 7,287,281 to Szor (“Szor ’281”)
Exhibit 1016	U.S. Patent No. 6,546,493 to Magdych, <i>et al.</i> (“Magdych”)
Exhibit 1017	U.S. Patent No. 6,412,071 to Hollander, <i>et al.</i> (“Hollander”)
Exhibit 1018	U.S. Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0088680 (“Nachenberg ’680”)
Exhibit 1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,611,925 to Spear, et al.
Exhibit 1020	U.S. Patent No. 7,340,777 to Szor (“Szor ’777”)
Exhibit 1021	U.S. Patent No. 7,093,239 to van der Made
Exhibit 1022	U.S. Patent No. 6,016,546 to Kephart, <i>et al.</i>

Exhibit 1023	M. G. Schultz, E. Eskin, E. Zadok, and S. J. Stolfo, “ <i>Data mining methods for detection of new malicious executables</i> ,” In Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, pages 38–49, Oakland, CA, May 2001
Exhibit 1024	W. Lee, W. Fan, M. Miller, S. Stolfo, and E. Zadok, “ <i>Toward cost-sensitive modeling for intrusion detection and response</i> ,” Journal of Computer Security, 10(1–2):5–22, January 2002
Exhibit 1025	U.S. Patent No. 7,979,907 to Shultz, <i>et al.</i>
Exhibit 1026	U.S. Patent No. 7,487,544 to Shultz, <i>et al.</i>
Exhibit 1027	Eugene H. Spafford, “The Internet Worm Program: An Analysis,” published December 8, 1988

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.