

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PANDUIT CORP.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CCS TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01323 (Patent 6,758,600 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01375 (Patent 6,869,227 B2)

---

Record of Oral Hearing  
Held: July 18, 2018

---

Before JONI Y. CHANG, JENNIFER S. BISK and DANIEL J.  
GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Case IPR2017-01323 (Patent 6,758,600 B2)  
Case IPR2017-01375 (Patent 6,869,227 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

KATHERINE D. CAPPAERT, ESQ.  
Steptoe & Johnson, LLP  
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036-1795  
202-429-6252  
kcappaert@steptoe.com

KELLY J. EBERSPECHER, ESQ.  
Steptoe & Johnson, LLP  
115 South LaSalle Street  
Suite 3100  
Chicago, IL 60603  
312-577-1272  
keberspecher@steptoe.com

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

ERIC D. HAYES, ESQ.  
GEORGE WILLIAM FOSTER, ESQ.  
Kirkland & Ellis, LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, IL 60654  
312-862-2480 (Hayes)  
eric.hayes@kirkland.com  
312-862-3544 (Foster)  
billy.foster@kirkland.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, July 18, 2018, commencing at 12:00 p.m. at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 12:03 p.m.

3 JUDGE CHANG: Good afternoon. Welcome. This is a  
4 hearing for IPR2017-01323 and IPR2017-01375. I'm administrative  
5 patent judge Joni Chang. Here with me is Judge Jennifer Bisk and  
6 Judge Daniel Galligan is joining us remotely from Dallas. And I just  
7 want to double-check whether he can hear us.

8 JUDGE GALLIGAN: I can hear you. Can you hear me all  
9 right?

10 JUDGE CHANG: Yes, that will be great.

11 JUDGE GALLIGAN: Thank you.

12 JUDGE CHANG: And also I just want to double-check where  
13 is the camera showing right now.

14 JUDGE GALLIGAN: It's showing you right now but I think  
15 they'll turn it and show the parties after you finish.

16 JUDGE CHANG: Okay, great. I just wanted to double-check  
17 that.

18 JUDGE GALLIGAN: Thanks.

19 JUDGE CHANG: And this is a consolidated oral hearing for  
20 both cases. At this time I would like to ask counsel please introduce  
21 yourselves starting with the petitioner's side.

22 MS. CAPPAERT: Kate Cappaert from Steptoe & Johnson on  
23 behalf of petitioner. With me is Kelly Eberspecher from Steptoe &

1 Johnson and in-house counsel from Panduit Jim Williams and  
2 Anthony Bartosik.

3 JUDGE CHANG: Thank you so much. Welcome.

4 MR. HAYES: Good morning or good afternoon. Eric Hayes  
5 and Billy Foster from Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of patent owner  
6 Corning Optical Communication. With us today is Brad Rametta,  
7 COC patent counsel, and Ben Nardone, Corning litigation counsel.

8 JUDGE CHANG: Great. Thank you so much and welcome.  
9 Because Judge Galligan is participating remotely I ask that counsel  
10 may speak only at the podium. That way he can hear.

11 And also for clarity please identify the specific slide number  
12 so he can follow because the camera will not be facing the TV over  
13 there.

14 But here consistent with our prior order each party has a total  
15 of 45 minutes to present both cases. Starting with the petitioner to  
16 present its case as to the challenged claims for both cases.

17 Thereafter the patent owner will respond to the petitioner's  
18 case. Petitioner may reserve a small portion of your time for rebuttal.

19 And the transcript of this oral hearing will be entered in both  
20 cases.

21 Is there any question before we begin?

22 MS. CAPPAERT: No, Your Honor.

1 JUDGE CHANG: And I just want to double-check did the  
2 court reporter have at least a copy of your demonstratives?

3 MS. CAPPAERT: Yes.

4 MR. HAYES: Yes.

5 JUDGE CHANG: Great, thank you. You may start any time.

6 MS. CAPPAERT: Good afternoon. Just before I begin I was  
7 planning on not displaying the demonstratives in the room if that's  
8 okay with the panel.

9 JUDGE CHANG: That's okay.

10 MS. CAPPAERT: This is an oral argument for IPR's  
11 challenging two patents. The '600 patent claims 3 and 4 and the '227  
12 patent claims 6, 7 and 11.

13 JUDGE CHANG: Did you want to reserve any time?

14 MS. CAPPAERT: Can I reserve the remainder of my time for  
15 rebuttal? I don't think I'll go too long but can I just reserve whatever I  
16 have remaining after.

17 JUDGE CHANG: Sure.

18 MS. CAPPAERT: So again this is an oral argument for IPR  
19 challenging the '600 patent and the '227 patent. Specifically for the  
20 '600 patent claims 3 and 4 are at issue and for the '227 patent claims 6,  
21 7 and 11 are at issue.

22 Both patents were previously challenged in IPRs. The '600  
23 patent was challenged in IPR2016-01647 but that was directed to

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.