

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

Ultratec, Inc.,

Petitioner

v.

Sorenson IP Holdings,

Patent Owner

---

Case IPR: Unassigned

Patent No. 9,336,689

---

**DECLARATION OF MEME HILLEY**

I, Meme Hilley, do hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a paralegal at Quarles & Brady LLP, attorneys for Petitioner. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge.

2. I reviewed the docket for IPR2013-00288 (concerning U.S. Patent No. 8,379,801), which shows that the final written decision of the Board was not appealed.

3. I reviewed the assignment records on the PTO's website, which shows that Sorenson IP Holdings, LLC is the current owner of U.S. Patent No. 9,336,689 and that the patent and its parent applications previously were owned by Sorenson Communications, Inc. and CaptionCall, LLC.

4. Exhibit 1003 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 9,336,689, the patent that is the subject of the present Petition.

5. Exhibit 1004 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,881,441.

6. Exhibit 1005 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,567,503.

7. Exhibit 1006 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 7,428,702.

8. Exhibit 1007 is a true and correct copy of the Florida State Courts System's January 2009 "Title II Guidelines for the State Courts System of Florida" containing its "Policy on Court Real-Time Transcription Services for Persons Who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing." The document is published on the Florida State Courts Web site, flcourts.org. As of May 4, 2017, it was available directly at

<http://www.flcourts.org/core/fileparse.php/243/urlt/TitleTwo.rtf> or by navigating to <http://flcourts.org/administration-funding/court-administration/ada-information.shtml> and selecting the link titled, “The Title II Guidelines.” Exhibit 1007 was downloaded from this website.

9. Exhibit 1008 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from National Association of Broadcasters Engineering Handbook (10th Ed., Taylor & Francis, 2007), including the “Closed Captioning Systems” chapter by Jeff Hutchins et al.

10. Exhibit 1009 is a true and correct copy of a 1996 Settlement Agreement Between the United States of America and the Florida State Courts System, including its attachment, “Policy on Court Real-Time Transcription Services for Persons Who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing.” The agreement and attachment are published on the website of the United States Department of Justice, [justice.gov](http://justice.gov). As of May 4, 2017, it was available directly at [https://www.justice.gov/crt/foia/readingroom/frequent\\_requests/ada\\_settlements/fl/fl11.txt](https://www.justice.gov/crt/foia/readingroom/frequent_requests/ada_settlements/fl/fl11.txt) or by navigating to <https://www.justice.gov/crt/florida> and selecting the link titled, “US v. Florida State Court System.” Exhibit 1009 was downloaded from this website.

11. Exhibit 1010 is a true and correct copy of the Florida State Courts System’s October 2007 “Provision of Real-Time Court Reporting Services for Attorneys with Disabilities” containing its “Policy on Court Real-Time

Transcription Services for Persons Who are Deaf or Hard of Hearing.” The document is published on the Florida State Courts Web site, flcourts.org. As of May 4, 2017, it was available directly at [http://jud18.flcourts.org/gen\\_public/pubs/bin/guidelines-realtime.rtf](http://jud18.flcourts.org/gen_public/pubs/bin/guidelines-realtime.rtf). Exhibit 1010 was downloaded from this website.

12. Exhibit 1011 is a true and correct copy of the Final Written Decision in IPR2013-00288 (Paper 63, October 30, 2014).

13. Exhibit 1012 is a true and correct copy of the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,379,801 in in IPR2013-00288.

14. Exhibit 1013 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 13/768,918.

15. Exhibit 1014 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 13/768,918.

16. Exhibit 1015 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 13/768,918.

17. Exhibit 1016 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 13/768,918.

18. Exhibit 1017 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 14/530,407.

19. Exhibit 1018 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 14/530,407.

20. Exhibit 1019 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 14/530,407.

21. Exhibit 1020 is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the file history of Application No. 14/530,407.

22. Exhibit 1021 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 8,379,801.

23. Exhibit 1022 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 5,909,482.

24. Exhibit 1023 is a true and correct copy of the following article: Marcele M. Soviero, Captioning Could be a Boon to Many Viewers, Popular Science (Oct. 1993, Vol. 243 No. 4).

25. Exhibit 1024 is a true and correct copy of the following document: Report, In the Matter of Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming, FCC MM Docket No. 95-176 (July 29, 1996). As of May 4, 2017, it was directly available at [https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/FCC-96-318A1.pdf](https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-96-318A1.pdf) or by navigating to <https://www.fcc.gov/document/closed-captioning-and-video-description-video-programming-2> and selecting the link under the “Files” heading. Exhibit 1024 was downloaded from this website.

26. Exhibit 1025 is a true and correct copy of the following article: Dorothy Smith, Communication in the Courtroom: Technology is helping to provide equal access to the law, Gallaudet Today (Spring 1989).

27. Exhibit 1026 is a true and correct copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,260,011.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.