

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PARROT S.A., PARROT DRONES S.A.S., and PARROT INC.,
Petitioners

v.

QFO LABS, INC.,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,645,580

“Radio-Controlled Flying Craft”

Inter Partes Review No. 2017-01400

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,645,580
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100 *et seq.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW | 3 |
| A. The '580 Patent Specification | 3 |
| B. The Board Institutes IPRs On The Two Parent Patents | 7 |
| C. The '580 Prosecution History | 8 |
| D. The Claims of the '580 Patent..... | 10 |
| E. The '580 Patent Recites Minor Variations on the Instituted Claims..... | 17 |
| F. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art | 17 |
| III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION | 17 |
| IV. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED FOR EACH CHALLENGED CLAIM | 18 |
| A. Identification of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b))..... | 18 |
| B. Grounds of Challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.204(b)(2))..... | 19 |
| V. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE..... | 20 |
| A. Overview of the Cited Prior Art..... | 20 |
| 1. Louvel | 20 |
| 2. Sato..... | 23 |
| 3. Kroo..... | 26 |
| 4. Talbert | 29 |
| 5. Gabai | 31 |
| 6. Burdoin..... | 31 |
| 7. Lee..... | 32 |
| B. Ground 1 – Claims 1, 6, 7, 12, and 13 Are Obvious Under Louvel in View of Sato, Kroo, and Talbert..... | 32 |
| 1. Independent Claim 1 | 33 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| (a) | Louvel Combined With Sato Discloses Limitation 1a and 1b..... | 33 |
| (b) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 1c..... | 34 |
| (c) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 1d..... | 35 |
| (d) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 1e..... | 36 |
| (e) | Talbert Discloses Limitation 1f..... | 37 |
| (f) | Louvel and Kroo Disclose Limitation 1g..... | 41 |
| (g) | Louvel and Sato Disclose Limitation 1h(i)–1h(iii) | 47 |
| 2. | Independent Claim 7 | 56 |
| (a) | Louvel Discloses 7b..... | 57 |
| (a) | Louvel and Kroo Disclose 7d..... | 57 |
| (b) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 7g..... | 58 |
| (a) | Sato Discloses Limitation 7i..... | 59 |
| 3. | Independent Claim 13..... | 60 |
| (a) | Louvel and Sato Disclose Limitation 13d..... | 61 |
| (b) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 13f(i) | 61 |
| (c) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 13f(ii) | 62 |
| 4. | Dependent Claims 6 and 12..... | 62 |
| (a) | Louvel Discloses Limitations 6a, 6b, 12a, and 12b..... | 62 |
| (b) | Louvel Discloses Limitations 6c and 12c..... | 63 |
| C. | Ground 2 – Claims 2, 8, and 14 Are Obvious in Further View of Gabai..... | 63 |
| 1. | Claims 2, 8, and 14..... | 64 |
| (a) | Talbert Discloses Limitations 2a, 2b, 8a, 8b, and 14a..... | 64 |
| (b) | Gabai Discloses Limitations 2c, 8c, and 14b | 64 |
| (c) | A POSA Would have Been Motivated to Combine Louvel with Gabai | 66 |
| D. | Ground 3 – Claims 3 and 9 Are Obvious in Further View of Burdoin..... | 68 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1. | Burdoin Discloses Claim 3 | 68 |
| 2. | Burdoin Discloses Claim 9 | 73 |
| 3. | A POSA Would have Been Motivated to Combine Louvel with Burdoin | 73 |
| E. | Ground 4 – Claims 5, 11, and 15 Are Obvious Under Louvel in View of Sato, Kroo, Talbert, and Lee | 76 |
| 1. | Independent Claim 15 | 76 |
| (a) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 15c | 77 |
| (b) | Louvel Discloses Limitation 15d | 77 |
| (c) | Louvel and Lee Disclose Limitation 15f | 77 |
| (d) | A POSA Would have Been Motivated to Combine Louvel with Lee | 79 |
| (e) | Talbert Discloses Limitation 15g(i) | 81 |
| (f) | Gabai Discloses Limitation 15g(ii) | 81 |
| (g) | Sato Discloses Limitation 15j | 82 |
| 2. | Dependent Claims 5 and 11 | 82 |
| F. | Ground 5 – Claim 16 is Obvious in Further View of Burdoin | 82 |
| 1. | Dependent Claim 16 | 82 |
| 2. | Burdoin Discloses Claim 16 | 83 |
| G. | Secondary Considerations Do Not Support A Finding Of Non- Obviousness | 83 |
| VI. | MANDATORY NOTICES | 83 |
| A. | Real Party-in-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)) | 83 |
| B. | Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) | 84 |
| 1. | Related Patent Office Proceedings | 84 |
| 2. | Related Litigation | 84 |
| 3. | Related Applications | 85 |
| C. | Lead and Back-Up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)) and Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)-(4)) | 85 |
| D. | Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)) | 85 |

VII. REQUIREMENTS FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.101, 42.104, AND 42.108)86

 A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.101(a)-(c))86

VIII. CONCLUSION.....86

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.