

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

PROMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01417
Patent 7,375,027 B2

Before JAMESON LEE, KEVIN F. TURNER, and
JOHN A. HUDALLA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

LEE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Patent Owner's Unopposed Motion for
Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Michael C. Ting
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

ProMOS Technologies Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Michael C. Ting Paper 9 (“Mot.”). Patent Owner provided a Declaration of Mr. Ting in support of the Motion. Ex. 2001. Patent Owner represents that Petitioner does not oppose the motion.

Having reviewed the Motion and the Declaration of Mr. Ting, we conclude that Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. Ting’s admission in this proceeding, *pro hac vice*, to represent Patent Owner as backup counsel. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission, Paper 7 (PTAB October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission). Mr. Ting is permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

ORDER

It is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Michael C. Ting is *granted*, and Mr. Ting is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file a power of attorney within 5 business days designating Mr. Ting in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner must file an updated mandatory notice identifying Mr. Ting as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

IPR2017-01417
Patent 7,375,027 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Ting is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Ting is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

FOR PETITIONER:

Naveen Modi
Joseph E. Palys
Chetan R. Bansal
Quadeer A. Ahmed
PAUL HASTINGS LLP
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com
josephpalys@paulhastings.com
chetanbansal@paulhastings.com
quadeerahmed@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Craig R. Kaufman
Kevin C. Jones
TECHKNOWLEDGE LAW GROUP LLP
ckaufman@tklg-llp.com
kjones@tklg-llp.com