

Filed on behalf of Global Tel*Link Corporation

By: Lori A. Gordon
Michael B. Ray
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C.
Tel: (202) 371-2600
Fax: (202) 371-2540

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,916,845**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Grounds for standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).	1
II.	Identification of challenge (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)).	1
	A. Citation of prior art.	1
	B. Statutory grounds for the challenge.	2
III.	The '845 patent.	3
	A. Techniques for detecting three-way calls existed for at least a decade prior to the filing date of the '845 patent.	4
	B. Voice Activation Detection was a known technique for conserving bandwidth prior to the '845 patent.	5
	C. The '845 patent incorporates these known techniques into a controlled environment.	7
	D. Level of ordinary skill in the art.	10
	E. Claim construction.	11
IV.	Ground 1: Apple renders independent claims 1 and 13 and their corresponding dependent claims 3, 6-12, 15, and 18-29 obvious.	11
	A. Apple discloses a system for detecting three-way call activity by monitoring VoIP signals.	11
	B. Independent claims 1 and 13.	16
	1. Apple renders claim 1 obvious.	16
	a) Apple discloses “a method for providing call processing in a controlled-environment facility” 1[P].	16
	b) Apple discloses the “receiving” limitation 1[A].	16
	c) Apple discloses the “connecting” limitation 1[B].	17

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,916,845

- d) Apple teaches or suggests the “detecting” limitation 1[C].
.....19
- 2. Apple renders independent claim 13 obvious.....20
 - a) Apple discloses “[a] system for providing call processing in a controlled-environment facility” 13[P].20
 - b) Apple teaches or suggests the “Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) gateway” limitation 13[A].20
 - c) Apple teaches or suggests the “agent” limitation 13[B].22
- C. Apple renders dependent claims 3 and 15 obvious.....24
- D. Apple renders dependent claims 6 and 23 obvious.....25
- E. Apple renders claims 7 and 24 obvious.26
- F. The “likelihood” claims.27
 - 1. Apple renders claims 8 and 25 obvious.....31
 - 2. Apple renders claims 9 and 26 obvious.....33
 - 3. Apple renders claims 10 and 27 obvious.....34
 - 4. Apple renders claims 11 and 28 obvious.....35
- G. Apple renders claims 12 and 29 obvious.37
- H. Apple renders claim 18 obvious.....37
- I. Additional monitoring claims.....39
 - 1. Sound of interest.....39
 - 2. Voice analysis.40
- V. Ground 2: Claims 2, 4, 14, and 17 are obvious over Apple and RFC 3389..42
 - A. The combination of Apple and RFC3389 renders claims 2 and 14 obvious.45

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,916,845

B. The combination of Apple and RFC3389 renders claims 4 and 17 obvious.48

VI. Ground 3: The combination of Apple and Black renders claims 5 and 16 obvious.....48

VII. Ground 4: Claims 30-41 are obvious over Apple, Farris, and Russell.51

A. The combination of Apple, Farris, and Russell renders independent claims 30 and 36 obvious.59

1. The combination of Apple, Farris, and Russell teaches or suggests a method and system “for providing call processing in a controlled-environment facility.”59

2. Structural limitations of independent claim 36.....59

3. The functional elements of independent claims 30 and 36.....61

a) Apple teaches or suggests the “receiving” limitation....62

b) Apple teaches or suggests the “connecting” limitation. .62

c) Apple teaches or suggests “receiving an out-of-band signal.”63

d) Apple in combination with Farris and Russell teaches or suggests the “detecting” limitation.64

B. The combination of Apple, Farris, and Russell renders claims 31, 34, 37, 39 and 40 obvious.65

C. The combination of Apple, Farris, and Russell renders claims 32 and 38 obvious.66

D. The combination of Apple, Farris, and Russell renders claims 35 and 41 obvious.67

VIII. Mandatory notices.68

IX. Conclusion.69

Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,916,845

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent 7,916,845 to Rae, <i>et al.</i>
1002	Provisional Application 60/607,447
1003	Declaration of Dr. Leonard J. Forys in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,916,845
1004	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Leonard J. Forys
1005	U.S. Patent 8,031,849 to Apple, <i>et al.</i> (“Apple”)
1006	U.S. Patent 5,082,145 to Farris, <i>et al.</i> (“Farris”)
1007	Ulysses Black, Voice over IP, Second Edition, 2002 (“Black”)
1008	Travis Russell, Signaling System #7, Fourth Edition, 2002 (“Russell”)
1009	IETF RFC3389, Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) Payload for Comfort Noise (CN). Available at https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3389 , September 2002 (“RFC3389”)
1010	U.S. Patent 4,028,496 to Lamarche, <i>et al.</i> (“Lamarche”)
1011	U.S. Patent 3,801,747 to Queffeulou (“Queffeulou”)
1012	U.S. Patent 5,822,726 to Taylor, <i>et al.</i> (“Taylor”)
1013	Engineering and Operations in the Bell System, Second Edition, 1977 (Second Printing 1984)
1014	G. W. Knox, “The problem of gangs and security threat groups (STG’s) in American prisons today: Recent research findings from the 2004 prison gang survey,” <i>National Gang Crime Research Center</i> , 2005. Available at http://www.ngcrc.com/corr2006.html
1015	Bureau of Prisons, “Criminal Calls: A Review of the Bureau of Prisons’ Management of Inmate Telephone Privileges, Jan. 10, 2000 (“Bureau of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.