

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DAIMLER NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION, MERCEDES-BENZ  
USA, LLC, and MERCEDES-BENZ U.S. INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

STRAGENT, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01503  
Patent 8,566,843 B2

---

Before LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and  
CARL L. SILVERMAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION  
*35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73*

In response to a Petition (Paper 2, “Pet.”) filed by Daimler North America Corporation, Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC, and Mercedes-Benz U.S. International, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”), we instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 2–46 and 52–59 of U.S. Patent No. 8,566,843 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’843 patent”). Paper 8 (“Dec.”). During the trial, Stragent, LLC (“Patent Owner”) filed a Response (Paper 11, “PO Resp.”) to which Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 19, “Pet. Reply”). An oral hearing was held on September 11, 2018, and a copy of the transcript was entered into the record. Paper 24 (“Tr.”).<sup>1</sup>

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6. This Decision is a Final Written Decision under 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) as to the patentability of the claims on which we instituted trial. Based on the record before us, Petitioner shows by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 2–37, 39–46, and 52–59 are unpatentable, but does not show by a preponderance of the evidence that claim 38 is unpatentable.

## I. BACKGROUND

### A. *The ’843 Patent*

The ’843 patent describes systems and methods “for sharing information in a distributed system.” Ex. 1001, 1:29–30. Such systems and methods are illustrated for system architectures such as “may be situated in automotive electronics or industrial control and monitoring systems.” *Id.* at 3:11–13. An example is provided in Figure 1 of the ’843 patent, which is reproduced below.

---

<sup>1</sup> The hearing was a consolidated hearing for IPR2017-01502, IPR2017-01503, and IPR2017-01504.




Figure 1 generally depicts elements of a distributed embedded communication and computing system. *Id.* at 3:9–11.

In an automotive environment, various electronic control units (“ECUs”) control such applications as engine control, brake control, or diagnostics through connections to various sensors and actuators organized into separate subnetworks. *Id.* at 3:13–18. Such applications are themselves grouped into backbone system functions, such as “body control, power train, and chassis.” *Id.* at 3:19–21. With a hierarchical organization that includes gateways 101, 103, 104, 105, messages are relayed up and down through the system layers. *Id.* at 3:24–26. Each layer may contain multiple ECUs connected through wired serial multiplexing bus systems, with the ’843 patent noting several examples that include Controller Area Network (“CAN”), Local Interconnect Network (“LIN”), and Flexray. *Id.* at 3:26–33.

At the highest level in the hierarchy, “the system level,” system gateway 101 is connected via various buses to other system-level ECUs, to subsequent gateways 103, and to external components 120. *Id.* at 3:60–67. In addition, system gateway 101 may be connected to external gateway 131 to link the system to remote device 132. *Id.* at 4:1–6. “Subsequent to the system level may be several layers of groups and subgroups that are link[ed] to the higher levels via gateways (101, 103, 104, 105).” *Id.* at 4:7–9.

In operation, ECU 102 receives “real-time” input variables from local sensors 108 or from networked sensors 106, respectively via signal lines 113 or multiplexing bus system 112. *Id.* at 3:39–42. “[R]eal-time may include any response time that may be measured in milli- or microseconds, and/or is less than 1 second.” *Id.* at 3:36–38. ECU 102 processes the input variables and generates output variables that may be shared with other ECUs 102. *Id.* at 3:46–51.

Two relevant modes of sharing are described. First, ECUs 102 “typically share information with devices that are connected on the same physical multiplexing system. This method of information sharing is called horizontal information sharing in a hierarchical system.” *Id.* at 3:51–55.

Second, a bulletin board may be used so that “the information is shared, in real-time, among a plurality of heterogeneous processes.” *Id.* at 1:31–33. According to the ’843 patent, “heterogeneous networks may refer to any different communication networks with at least one aspect that is different.” *Id.* at 7:27–29. Figure 7 of the ’843 patent, reproduced below, illustrates a logical architecture between three heterogeneous network controllers using such a bulletin board. *Id.* at 6:33–35.




Figure 7 illustrates a system architecture in which a bulletin board acts as a shared memory interacting with multiple communication buses, with data received from one communication bus stored on the bulletin board and shared as a new message with other network types. *Id.* at 7:4–37.

The illustrated architecture includes four principal components: (1) network controllers 702, 703, and 704 (first column) for each of multiple heterogeneous networks; (2) associated operating system interfaces 705 for each of the heterogeneous networks (second column); (3) remote message communication processes 706 for stripping out network-specific information (third column); and (4) the bulletin board, which may contain events 607, real-time variables 608, configuration parameters, and firmware. *Id.* at 5:3–67, 6:33–37. In operation, external event 701, such as a flag indicating that data from a sensor are available, is transmitted on a network to a communication bus controller, such as network controller 703 in Figure 7. *Id.* at 7:4–9. This causes an operating system interface (such as

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.