

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORP.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01572  
Patent 8,646,529 B2

---

Before PATRICK R. SCANLON, HYUN J. JUNG, and  
JAMES J. MAYBERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JUNG, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Granting Joint Motion to Expunge  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.56*  
Dismissing Motions to Seal  
*37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54*

## I. MOTION TO EXPUNGE

On June 13, 2018, we granted a Joint Motion to Terminate. Paper 52. With our prior authorization, the parties subsequently filed a Joint Motion to Expunge the Documents under Seal. Paper 53 (“Mot.”). Specifically, the parties move to expunge Papers 7–9, 11, 18, 20, 26, 28, 32, 41, 42, 46, and 47,<sup>1</sup> as well as Exhibits 1101, 1103, 1104,<sup>2</sup> 1107, 2005, 2009, and 2011–2014, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.56. Mot. 2. For the reasons set forth below, we *grant* the Joint Motion to Expunge.

Because sealed information ordinarily becomes publicly available after denial of a petition to institute a trial or after final judgment in a trial, a party wishing to preserve its confidentiality may file a motion to expunge the information from the record. Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48761 (Aug. 14, 2012); 37 C.F.R. § 42.56. However, a strong public policy exists for making information filed in an *inter partes* review publicly available. 37 C.F.R. § 42.14; *see also* 77 Fed. Reg. at 48760–61.

---

<sup>1</sup> Paper 8 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Patent Owner’s Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response. Paper 18 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response, and Paper 42 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Patent Owner’s Response. Paper 47 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Joint Motion to Terminate. Papers 7, 20, 32, 41, and 46 are the unredacted, confidential versions of Patent Owner’s Motions to Seal. Paper 9 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Petitioner’s Opposition to Patent Owner’s Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response, and Paper 26 is the unredacted, confidential version of the Petitioner’s Sur-Reply to Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response. Paper 11 and 28 are the unredacted, confidential version of Petitioner’s Motions to Seal.

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit 1104 as used herein refers to the unredacted, confidential version of the exhibit.

The public's interest in maintaining a complete and understandable file history is balanced with the parties' interest in protecting truly sensitive information. 77 Fed. Reg. at 48760.

We did not rely on Papers 8, 9, 18, and 26, or Exhibits 1101, 1103, 1104, 1107, 2005, 2009, and 2011–2014 in rendering the Institution Decision. Paper 37. We did not need to consider Paper 42 and did not rely on Paper 47 in granting the Joint Motion to Terminate. Paper 52. The redacted, public versions of the Patent Owner's Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response (Paper 23), Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response (Paper 10), Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 21), Petitioner's Sur-Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response (Paper 27), Patent Owner's Response (Paper 45), and Joint Motion to Terminate (Paper 50) provide sufficient information for the public to understand the procedural posture and record of this proceeding. For the reasons discussed below, we dismiss the motions to seal (Papers 7, 11, 20, 28, 32, 41, 46) as moot. In addition, we agree with the parties' reasons for asserting that expunging these papers and exhibits is appropriate. *See* Mot. 4–10. Thus, we find the public's interest in being able to access this information does not outweigh the parties' need to protect their confidential information.

Accordingly, we grant the parties' request to expunge Papers 7–9, 11, 18, 20, 26, 28, 32, 41, 42, 46, and 47, and Exhibits 1101, 1103, 1104, 1107, 2005, 2009, and 2011–2014.

## II. MOTIONS TO SEAL

Patent Owner filed Motions to Seal, requesting to seal the unredacted, confidential version of Patent Owner's Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response, Paper 8; the unredacted, confidential version of Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 18; Exhibit 2014; the unredacted, confidential version of Patent Owner's Response, Paper 42; and the unredacted, confidential version of the Joint Motion to Terminate, Paper 47. Papers 7, 20, 32, 41, 46.<sup>3</sup> Petitioner filed Motions to Seal, requesting to seal the unredacted, confidential version of its Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion for Extension of Time for Preliminary Response, Paper 9; Exhibits 1101 and 1103; the unredacted, confidential version of its Sur-Reply to Patent Owner's Preliminary Response, Paper 26; and Exhibits 1104 and 1107. Papers 11, 28.<sup>4</sup> Although Patent Owner's Motions to Seal did not expressly identify the confidential versions of its motions as papers to be under seal, we interpret the Motions to Seal as covering the confidential versions.

As noted above, however, we have granted the parties' request to expunge Papers 8, 9, 18, 26, 42, and 47, as well as Exhibits 1101, 1103, 1104, 1107, and 2014. Accordingly, the Motions to Seal are *dismissed* as moot.

---

<sup>3</sup> Papers 22, 24, 35, 44, and 51 are the public versions of the Motions to Seal. The confidential versions of the Motions to Seal were filed as Papers 7, 20, 32, 41, and 46.

<sup>4</sup> Papers 12 and 29 are the public version of the Motion to Seal. The confidential versions of the Motions to Seal were filed as Papers 11 and 28.

### III. ORDER

It is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Expunge is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that Papers 7–9, 11, 18, 20, 26, 28, 32, 41, 42, 46, and 47, and Exhibits 1101, 1103, 1104, 1107, 2005, 2009, and 2011–2014 are expunged from the record; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner’s and Petitioner’s Motions to Seal are *dismissed* as moot.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.