

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2017-01575, IPR2017-01577, and IPR2017-01772
Patent 8,905,133 B2

Before PATRICK R. SCANLON, HYUN J. JUNG, and
JEREMY M. PLENZLER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PLENZLER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings

35 U.S.C. § 317(a); 37 C.F.R. § 42.72

Granting Request to Treat Settlement Agreement

as Confidential Business Information

35 U.S.C. § 317(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)

We instituted trial on claims 1–45 (collectively, the “Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,905,133 B2 (the “’133 patent”). IPR2017-01575, Paper 16, 18–19; IPR2017-01577, Paper 16, 18–19; IPR2017-01772, Paper 12, 9–10.¹ Pursuant to our authorization, on June 5, 2018, the parties filed a Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings (Paper 35²; the “Joint Motion”) and a Joint Motion to File Settlement Agreement as Confidential (Paper 33). Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b), the parties also filed a true copy of their written settlement agreement (Ex. 2026).

In the Joint Motion, the parties indicate that they have reached an agreement regarding all of their disputes involving the ’133 patent. Paper 35, 2. The parties represent that:

Other than as indicated in the [Settlement] Agreement, there are no written or oral agreements or understandings, including any collateral agreements, between the parties, including but not limited to licenses, covenants not to sue, confidentiality agreements, or other agreements of any kind, that are made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.

Id.

Under 35 U.S.C. § 317(a), “[a]n *inter partes* review instituted under this chapter shall be terminated with respect to any petitioner upon the joint request of the petitioner and patent owner, unless the Office has decided the merits of the proceeding before the request for termination is filed.” The parties indicate that termination is proper, “because this proceeding is still in

¹ Subsequent citations are to the record in IPR2017-01575, as the subsequent papers discussed below are substantially similar in each of IPR2017-01575, -01577, and -01772.

² Paper 35 is the public version of the Joint Motion. A confidential version was filed as Paper 32.

IPR2017-01575, IPR2017-01577, and IPR2017-01772
Patent 8,905,133 B2

its early stages, with the patent owner response not due until June 18, 2018.” Paper 35, 3. The parties further assert that they “are unaware of any other matter before the USPTO that would be affected by the outcome of this proceeding.” *Id.*

There are strong public policy reasons to favor settlement between the parties to a proceeding. *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). When, as here, we have not rendered a Final Written Decision on the merits, we generally expect that the proceeding will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement. *See id.*

Based on the preceding, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate this proceeding without rendering a Final Written Decision as to the patentability of the Challenged Claims of the ’133 patent.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the parties’ request that the settlement agreements (IPR2017-01575, Ex. 2026; IPR2017-01577, Ex. 2026; IPR2017-01772, Ex. 2026) be treated as business confidential information and kept separate from the files of these proceeding and of U.S. Patent No. 8,905,133 B2, under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings is *granted*, and IPR2017-01575, IPR2017-01577, and IPR2017-01772 are hereby terminated.

IPR2017-01575, IPR2017-01577, and IPR2017-01772
Patent 8,905,133 B2

For PETITIONER:

Henry A. Petri
James P. Murphy
POLSINELLI PC
hpetri@polsinelli.com
jpmurphy@polsinelli.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Michael L. Kiklis
Christopher Ricciuti
Lisa Mandrusiak
Marc K. Weinstein
OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP
CPDocketKiklis@oblon.com
CPDocketRicciuti@oblon.com
CPDocketmandrusiak@oblon.com
CPDocketWeinstein@oblon.com