UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY Petitioner,
\mathbf{v} .
B. BRAUN MELSUNGEN AG Patent Owner.
Case IPR2017-01583
Patent 8,333,735

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response

Table of Contents

I.	INT	RODUCTION1		
II.	LEV	VEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART4		
III.	CLA	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION4		
	<i>A</i> .	Background and Overview of the Law4		
	В.	"Needle Protective Device" is Synonymous with "Needle Guard Element"		
	<i>C</i> .	"Needle Protective Device" a.k.a. "Needle Guard Element" is Not a Means-Plus-Function Term8		
		1. The '735 Patent Discloses "Needle Protective Device" is A Structure		
		2. The Prosecution History Teaches "Needle Protective Device" is A Structure		
		3. "Needle Protective Device" is a Class of Structures Well-Known to a POSITA		
		4. Surrounding Claim Language Indicates "Needle Protective Device" is Structural and Not Limited to Spring Clips16		
IV.	STA	ANDARD FOR INSTITUTING INTER PARTES REVIEW17		
V.	BEC SUB	E BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION OF GROUND 1 CAUSE IT IS BASED ON THE SAME PRIOR ART AND ESTANTIALLY THE SAME ARGUMENTS ALREADY NSIDERED AND REJECTED BY THE OFFICE		
	<i>A</i> .	The Office has already determined it would not be obvious for a POSITA to incorporate a valve into the Woehr-108 catheters22		
	В.	The Office already determined it would not be obvious to incorporate the valve assembly of Tauschinski into a catheter hub		



		Petitioner's proposed combination of Woehr-108 and Tauschinski adds nothing beyond what was already considered by the Office28
	D.	Arnett adds nothing beyond what is already disclosed in Tauschinski29
		Petitioner merely concludes, without supporting evidence, that it would be obvious to include Tauschinski's disc 3 and member 10 in the catheter hub of Woehr-10835
		Patent Owner's Australian expert never stated there were "no design concerns" with incorporating a valve into the catheter hub of Woehr-10838
VI.	BEC SUC INTO MAI	BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION OF GROUND 1 AUSE PETITIONER FAILS TO SHOW HOW TO CESSFULLY INCORPORATE A VALVE AND ACTUATOR O THE CATHETER HUB OF WOEHR-108 WHILE NTAINING THE FUNCTIONALITY OF THE WOEHR-108 HETER40
		Significant design challenges exist for a POSITA considering incorporating a valve and actuator into the Woehr-108 catheter hub41
		Petitioner never adequately explains how a POSITA would overcome the design challenges46
	<i>C</i> .	There is no reason to modify the Tauschinski actuator48
VII.	AND VAL TO U	BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION ON GROUNDS 2 4 BECAUSE VAN HEUGTEN DOES NOT DISCLOSE A VE WITH SLITS, AND BECAUSE THERE IS NO REASON USE THE ACTUATOR OF ARNETT WITH THE VALVE OF HEUGTEN
	<i>A</i> .	Van Heugten fails to disclose a valve with slits, as required by claims 1, 9, 10 and 11 of the '735 patent52
	В.	There is no reason to modify the already existing actuator of Van Heugten based on Arnett55



VIII	. THE	BOARD SHOULD DENY INSTITUTION ON GROUND 3	
	BEC	AUSE THERE IS NO REASON TO USE A SLIT VALVE IN	
	THE	PIKE CATHETER	59
	A.	Pike Utilizes a Deformable Plug Valve to Force Fluid Around, Not Through, the Valve	60
	В.	Claims 10 and 11 are Not Obvious Because a POSITA Would Not Use a Slit Valve in the Catheter of Pike	62
		1. A POSITA Would Not be Motivated to Modify the Valve of Pike in Light of Luther Because the Catheter Would be Inoperable for Its Intended Purpose	.62
		2. A POSITA Would Not Modify the Actuator of Pike in Light of Luther	64
	<i>C</i> .	Claims 18, 19 and 24 are Not Obvious Because a POSITA Would Not Modify Pike in View of Luther	65
IX.	SEC	ONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	65
v	CON	ICLUCION	"



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Communs., Inc., 694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	34, 37, 62, 64
In re Am. Acad. Of Sci. Tech. Ctr., 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	4
Apex Inc. v. Raritan Comp., Inc., 325 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	5
Belden Inc. v. Berk-Tek LLC, 805 F.3d 1064 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	34
Cuozzo Speed Techs. v. Lee, 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016)	4
Front Row Technologies, LLC v. MLB Advanced Media, L.P., IPR2015-01932, Paper 7 (Mar. 24, 2016)	19
Fujunon Corp. v. Motorola, Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 83088 (D. Del. Sept. 11, 2009)	9
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	19
Inventio AG v. ThyssenKrupp Elevator Am. Corp., 649 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	16
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	20
Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc., 382 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	6, 12, 15
In re Magnum Oil Tools Int'l, Ltd., 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	18, 19, 53
Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 480 F. 3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	65



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

