

U.S. Patent No. 8,337,463
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Filed on behalf of Becton, Dickinson and Company

By: Heather M. Petruzzi, Reg. No. 71,270 (Lead Counsel)
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
Email: Heather.Petruzzi@wilmerhale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY,
Petitioner,

v.

B.BRAUN MELSUNGEN AG,
Patent Owner of
U.S. Patent No. 8,337,463 to Woehr et al.

IPR Trial No. IPR2017-01585

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF CLAIMS 1, 2, 10, 12, 25, 28 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,337,463
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §312 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.104**

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Mandatory Notices.....	1
	A. Real Parties in Interest.....	1
	B. Related Matters.....	1
	C. Counsel.....	1
	D. Service Information.....	2
III.	Certification of Grounds for Standing	2
IV.	Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested.....	2
	A. Grounds of Challenge.....	2
	B. Relief Requested.....	3
V.	Overview of the'463 Patent	3
	A. State of the Art	3
	B. Brief Description of the '463 Patent in View of the State of the Art....	4
VI.	POSA	6
VII.	Claim Construction.....	7
	A. “needle protective device”	7
VIII.	Ground I: The Challenged Claims Are Obvious over Woehr '108 in view of Tauschinski, and further in view of Arnett.....	10
	A. Independent Claim 1	13
	1. Element 1p. “A catheter insertion device comprising:”.	13
	2. Element 1a. “a catheter hub...;”	13
	3. Element 1b. “a needle...;”	14
	4. Element 1c. “a valve...;”	16
	5. Element 1d. “a valve actuating element...;”	20
	6. Element 1e. “a needle protective device....”	25
	B. Dependent Claim 2	28
	C. Independent Claim 10	28
	1. Element 10p. “A catheter insertion device comprising:”	28

U.S. Patent No. 8,337,463
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

2.	Element 10a. “a first hub...;”	29
3.	Element 10b. “a needle...;”	29
4.	Element 10c. “a valve...;”	29
5.	Element 10d. “a valve actuating element...;”	30
6.	Element 10e. “a needle protective device....”	32
D.	Dependent Claim 12	33
E.	Independent Claim 25	34
1.	Element 25p. “A catheter insertion device comprising:”	34
2.	Element 25a. “a catheter hub...;”	34
3.	Element 25b. “a needle...;”	35
4.	Element 25c. “a valve...;”	36
5.	Element 25d. “a valve actuating element...;”	36
6.	Element 25e. “a needle protective device....”	38
F.	Dependent Claim 28	39
IX.	Ground II: The Challenged Claims Are Obvious over Van Heugten in view of Arnett.....	40
A.	Independent Claim 1	41
1.	Element 1p. “A catheter insertion device comprising.”	41
2.	Element 1a. “a catheter hub...;”	42
3.	Element 1b. “a needle...;”	42
4.	Element 1c. “a valve...;”	43
5.	Element 1d. “a valve actuating element...;”	47
6.	Element 1e. “a needle protective device....”	49
B.	Dependent Claim 2	50
C.	Independent Claim 10	51
1.	Element 10p. “A catheter insertion device comprising:”	51
2.	Element 10a. “a first hub...;”	51
3.	Element 10b. “a needle...;”	51
4.	Element 10c. “a valve...;”	51

U.S. Patent No. 8,337,463
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

5.	Element 10d. “a valve actuating element...;”	53
6.	Element 10e. “a needle protective device....”	55
D.	Dependent Claim 12.....	57
E.	Independent Claim 25	57
1.	Element 25p. “A catheter insertion device comprising:”	57
2.	Element 25a. “a catheter hub...;”	57
3.	Element 25b. “a needle...;”	59
4.	Element 25c. “a valve...;”	59
5.	Element 25d. “a valve actuating element...;”	59
6.	Element 25e. “a needle protective device....”	61
F.	Dependent Claim 28.....	62
X.	Secondary Considerations of Nonobviousness Do Not Negate the Above Obviousness Grounds.....	63
XI.	Conclusion	63

Table of Authorities

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adlens USA, Inc. v. Superfocus Holdings LLC</i> , 2016 WL 7992047 (Dec. 27, 2016)	7
<i>Apple Inc. v. Immersion Corp.</i> , 2017 WL 376909 (Jan. 11, 2017)	7
<i>In re Donaldson Co.</i> , 16 F.3d 1189 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	8
<i>Lighting World, Inc. v. Birchwood Lighting, Inc.</i> , 382 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	9
<i>Micron Tech., Inc. v. Innovative Memory Sys., Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 5027747 (June 13, 2016)	9
<i>MIT & Elecs. for Imaging, Inc. v. Abacus Software</i> , 462 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	8
<i>Verizon Servs. Corp. v. AIP Acquisitions LLC</i> , 2015 WL 9899021 (Oct. 15, 2015)	7
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	7, 8
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	11, 40
35 U.S.C. § 103	2, 3
35 U.S.C. § 112	7, 8, 10, 40
Rules	
Rule 42.104	2, 12
Rule 42.22	2

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.