

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO. LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

NOKIA SOLUTIONS AND NETWORKS OY,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01610
Patent 8,165,035 B2

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, MICHELLE N. WORMMEESTER, and
CHRISTA P. ZADO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WORMMEESTER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Joint Motion to Dismiss
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a)

Granting Request to Treat Settlement Document
as Confidential Business Information
35 U.S.C. § 317(b); 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c)

On December 22, 2017, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the instant proceeding pursuant to a settlement agreement. Paper 7. The parties also filed a copy of their settlement agreement (which they refer to as “Exhibit 1100”), made in connection with the termination of the instant proceeding. Ex. 2100.¹ In a concurrently filed paper, the parties jointly request that the settlement agreement be treated as confidential and kept separate from the file of the involved patent under 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74. Paper 8. We authorized the filing of these papers in an e-mail sent on December 22, 2017.

The instant proceeding is in an early stage, and the Board has not determined whether to institute trial. The parties represent that their settlement agreement “represents all agreements made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of this proceeding.” Paper 7, 2. The parties further represent that Exhibit 2100 is “a true and correct copy” of their settlement agreement. *Id.*

In view of the foregoing, we determine that it is appropriate to dismiss the Petition. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(a) (authorizing the Board to dismiss a petition).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the joint motion to terminate the instant proceeding is *granted*, and the Petition is hereby *dismissed*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties’ joint request that their settlement agreement (Ex. 2100) be treated as business confidential

¹ Pursuant to our instructions provided in an e-mail on December 28, 2017, the parties submitted a replacement version of Exhibit 2100 (which they designated as “Replacement Exhibit 1100”). The version of Exhibit 2100 previously filed on December 22, 2017, will be expunged from the record.

IPR2017-01610
Patent 8,165,035 B2

information and kept separate from the file of the involved patent, under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R § 42.74(c), is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the version of Exhibit 2100 filed on December 22, 2017, is expunged.

FOR PETITIONER:

W. Karl Renner
Jeremy J. Monaldo
Brian G. Strand
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
axf-ptab@fr.com
jjm@fr.com
strand@fr.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

S. Benjamin Pleune
Christopher TL Douglas
David S. Frist
ALSTON & BIRD LLP
ben.pleune@alston.com
christopher.douglas@alston.com
david.frist@alston.com