

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

TOSHIBA CORPORATION, TOSHIBA MEMORY CORPORATION, and  
TOSHIBA AMERICA ELECTRONIC COMPONENTS, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MACRONIX INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2017-01632  
Patent 8,035,417 B1

---

Before KEN B. BARRETT, JENNIFER S. BISK, and JASON M. REPKO,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

REPKO, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

ORDER

*Granting Joint Motion to Terminate  
and  
Granting Request to Treat Settlement Documents  
as Confidential Business Information  
37 C.F.R §§ 42.72, 42.74(c)*

Macronix International Co., Ltd. (“Patent Owner”) and Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Memory Corporation, and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”), jointly move to terminate this *inter partes* review in light of their settlement that resolves their dispute regarding U.S. Patent 8,035,417 B1. Paper 24 (“Mot.”). The parties also filed a true copy of their written settlement agreement with the motion as required by 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(b). Exs. 2003, 2004 (“Settlement Agreement”). Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c), the parties also filed a joint request to treat the settlement agreement as business confidential information kept separate from the file of the involved patent. Paper 25. For the reasons below, the motions are *granted*.

The Board generally expects that a case “will terminate after the filing of a settlement agreement, unless the Board has already decided the merits.” *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). In this proceeding, we have not entered a final written decision. The parties submit that termination is appropriate because they have settled their dispute. Mot. 1. Under these circumstances, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate this proceeding.

After reviewing the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner, we find that the Settlement Agreement contains confidential business information regarding the terms of settlement. We determine that it is appropriate to treat the Settlement Agreement between Petitioner and Patent Owner as business confidential information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

IPR2017-01632  
Patent 8,035,417 B1

ORDER

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Joint Motion to Terminate is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the *inter partes* review is terminated as to all parties; and

FURTHER ORDERED that request that the Settlement Agreement (Exhibits 2003, 2004) be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate under 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c) and 35 U.S.C. § 317(b) is *granted*.

IPR2017-01632  
Patent 8,035,417 B1

PETITIONER:

Kevin C. Hamilton  
Steven L. Park  
Gerald T. Sekimura  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
kevin.hamilton@dlapiper.com  
steven.park@dlapiper.com  
gerald.sekimura@dlapiper.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christian A. Chu  
Ayan Roy-Chowdhury  
Kevin Su  
Timothy W. Riffe  
Ryan Chowdhury  
Michael J. McKeon  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
chu@fr.com  
roy-chowdhury@fr.com  
su@fr.com  
riffe@fr.com  
rchowdhury@fr.com  
mckeon@fr.com