## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. and DONGHEE ALABAMA, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED INNOVATION AND RESEARCH, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01605<sup>1</sup> (Patent 7,166,253 B2) Case IPR2017-01633 (Patent 6,866,812 B2) Case IPR2017-01647 (Patent 6,814,921 B1) Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2) Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2) Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, and ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, Administrative Patent Judge.

RM

ORDER Petitioner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We exercise our discretion to issue one order to be entered in these six proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for subsequent papers without prior Board approval.

IPR2017-01605 (Patent 7,166,253 B2) IPR2017-01633 (Patent 6,866,812 B2) IPR2017-01647 (Patent 6,814,921 B1) IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2) IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2) IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

On May 22, 2018, Petitioner filed a *Pro Hac Vice* Motion to Admit Vickie L. Feeman in each of the above-referenced proceedings (collectively, "Motions"). IPR2017-01605 Paper 17.<sup>2</sup> The Motions are supported by the Declarations of Ms. Feeman. IPR2017-01605 Paper 18.<sup>3</sup> Petitioner represents in the Motions that Ms. Feeman is an experienced patent litigation attorney and that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Ms. Feeman *pro hac vice*. Paper 17, 5–6. Ms. Feeman represents that she has sufficient familiarity with the subject matter of this proceeding. Paper 18, 1. Petitioner represents that counsel for Patent Owner does not oppose the Motions. Paper 17, 6.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing a motion for *pro hac vice* admission, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding. *See* Paper 3, 2 (citing *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Motions for Ms. Feeman that Petitioner filed in these proceedings are substantively the same. Accordingly, we refer to the papers filed in IPR2017-01605 unless otherwise noted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Petitioner filed the Declarations as Papers. Petitioner is reminded that affidavits and declarations must be filed as exhibits so that they may be referenced individually by exhibit number. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63. Further, Exhibits should be given a title in PTAB-E2E more descriptive than the exhibit number (i.e., Exhibit 1002, or Exhibit 2002). For example, Exhibit 1001 could have been titled "The '253 patent," or similar.

IPR2017-01605 (Patent 7,166,253 B2) IPR2017-01633 (Patent 6,866,812 B2) IPR2017-01647 (Patent 6,814,921 B1) IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2) IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2) IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

Case IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (representative "Order – Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission")).

The Board has reviewed the submissions and determined that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10 have been met and there is good cause to admit Ms. Feeman *pro hac vice* in these proceedings.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED that the Petitioner's Motion seeking admission *pro hac vice* for Vickie L. Feeman in each of these proceedings is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Feeman is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel only, and that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Feeman shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R.; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Feeman is to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, which took effect on May 3, 2013.

IPR2017-01605 (Patent 7,166,253 B2) IPR2017-01633 (Patent 6,866,812 B2) IPR2017-01647 (Patent 6,814,921 B1) IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2) IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2) IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

**PETITIONER:** 

Alyssa Caridis Bas de Blank Donald Daybell ORRICK, HERRINGTON, & SUTCLIFFE LLP a8cptabdocket@orrick.com M2BPTABDocket@orrick.com D2dptabdocket@orrick.com

PATENT OWNER: Robert C. Mattson Vincent Shier Christopher Ricciuti OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP CPDocketMattson@oblon.com CPDocketShier@oblon.com CPDocketRicciuti@oblon.com