

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DONGHEE AMERICA, INC. and DONGHEE ALABAMA, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

PLASTIC OMNIUM ADVANCED INNOVATION AND RESEARCH,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2)
Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2)
Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

Before MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, and
ROBERT L. KINDER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2)

Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2)

Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

Donghee America, Inc. and Donghee Alabama, LLC (“Petitioner”) and Plastic Omnium Advanced Innovation and Research (“Patent Owner”) each request oral hearing pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70 in each of these cases.¹ Paper 29; Paper 30.² Subject to the discussion in the present order, we grant the parties’ requests for oral hearing. Oral argument shall commence at 1:00 pm Eastern Time on November 5, 2018, on the 9th floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Petitioner requests 60 minutes of argument time, and Patent Owner requests 90 minutes. Paper 29, 3; Paper 30, 1. Petitioner and Patent Owner each shall have 60 minutes of total time to present arguments, divided between IPR2017-01654, IPR2017-01890, and IPR2017-01945 as each party sees fit. The hearing will proceed as follows. Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims. Patent Owner then will respond to Petitioner’s presentation. Petitioner may reserve rebuttal time (of no more than half its total argument time) to reply to Patent Owner’s arguments. Patent Owner may reserve sur-rebuttal time to respond only to Petitioner’s rebuttal.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing, and the reporter’s transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The

¹ Although the hearing in IPR2017-01654 originally was scheduled to be held October 22, 2018, the parties jointly requested continuing that hearing until November 5, 2018, to be held jointly with the hearings in IPR2017-01890 and IPR2017-01945. IPR2017-01654, Paper 32; IPR2017-01654, Paper 33. We granted that request, and we will hold hearings in all three cases on November 5, 2018.

² Our citations are to the record in IPR2017-01890. Similar filings are present in IPR2017-01654 and IPR2017-01945.

Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2)

Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2)

Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

The parties shall serve on opposing counsel demonstrative exhibits no later than October 29, 2018. The parties also shall provide the demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least three business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties shall not file any demonstrative exhibits in this proceeding without prior authorization from the Board. A hard copy of the demonstrative exhibits should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

We remind the parties that demonstrative exhibits are not evidence, but are intended to assist the parties in presenting their oral arguments to the Board. We also remind the parties that demonstrative exhibits are not a mechanism for making arguments not previously addressed in the papers. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, Case IPR2013-00041 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits, which must include citations to the record.

To the extent that the parties object to the propriety of any demonstrative exhibits, we expect the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits. If such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file objections to demonstratives with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. The objections should identify with particularity the portions of each demonstrative exhibit subject to objection, include a copy of the objected-to portions, and include a one-sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No further

Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2)

Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2)

Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

argument or explanation is permitted. We will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, we will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived.

At least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing electronically from a remote location and may not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. In particular, documents presented on the Elmo projector are not visible to remote judges, so please plan accordingly. If a demonstrative exhibit is not made available or visible to the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely, that demonstrative will not be considered. Each presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and for the benefit of the judge(s) presiding over the hearing remotely. Because of limitations of the audio transmission systems in our hearing rooms, the presenter may speak only when standing at the hearing room lectern.

No live witness testimony shall be taken at the oral argument. The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any requests for audiovisual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov. Requests for equipment will not be honored unless

Case IPR2017-01654 (Patent 9,079,490 B2)

Case IPR2017-01890 (Patent 9,399,327 B2)

Case IPR2017-01945 (Patent 9,399,326 B2)

presented in a separate communication not less than three business days before the hearing, directed to the above email address.

It is

ORDERED that the parties' requests for oral hearing are granted subject to the conditions set forth in this Order; and

FURTHER ORDERED that an oral hearing, conducted pursuant to the procedures outlined above, shall commence at 1:00 PM Eastern Time on November 5, 2018.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.