

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ELITE PERFORMANCE FOOTWEAR, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

REEBOK INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,
Patent Owner.

IPR2017-01676 (Patent 7,637,035 B1)
IPR2017-01680 (Patent 8,505,221 B2)
IPR2017-01689 (Patent 8,020,320 B2)

Before MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, KEVIN W. CHERRY, and
JAMES A. WORTH, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

IPR2017-01676 (Patent 7,637,035 B1)
IPR2017-01680 (Patent 8,505,221 B2)
IPR2017-01689 (Patent 8,020,320 B2)

Counsel for Petitioner contacted the Board via email on October 1, 2018 to request authorization to file a sur-reply to Patent Owner's Reply in Support of its Contingent Motion to Amend in each of these proceedings. The email stated: "Petitioner respectfully requests the opportunity to file a sur-reply to Patent Owner's Reply in Support of its Contingent Motion to Amend filed September 25, 2018, in each of these proceedings (IPR2017-01676; -01680; -01689). If allowed, Petitioner will file the sur-replies by October 9, 2018 (DUE DATE 4)." The email indicated that Patent Owner does not oppose the request.

The August 2018 Update to the Trial Practice Guide¹ ("Trial Practice Guide Update") provides that "[s]ur-replies to principal briefs (i.e., to a reply to a patent owner response or to a reply to an opposition to a motion to amend) normally will be authorized by the scheduling order entered at institution." Trial Practice Guide Update, 14. The Trial Practice Guide Update states, "sur-reply practice essentially replaces the previous practice of filing observations on cross-examination testimony." *Id.*

Petitioner's request is granted. In each proceeding, the sur-reply must comply with all of the requirements for a sur-reply set forth in the Trial Practice Guide Update. *See* Trial Practice Guide Update, 6, 14–15. The sur-reply is limited to 12 pages. *Id.* at 6. "The sur-reply may not be accompanied by new evidence other than deposition transcripts of the cross-examination of any reply witness. Sur-replies should only respond to

¹ Available at https://www.uspto.gov/sites/default/files/documents/2018_Revised_Trial_Practice_Guide.pdf

IPR2017-01676 (Patent 7,637,035 B1)
IPR2017-01680 (Patent 8,505,221 B2)
IPR2017-01689 (Patent 8,020,320 B2)

arguments made in reply briefs, comment on reply declaration testimony, or point to cross-examination testimony.” *Id.* at 14.

It is:

ORDERED that Petitioner is authorized to file, in each of these proceedings, a sur-reply to Patent Owner’s Reply in Support of its Contingent Motion to Amend; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the sur-replies must comply with the requirements for sur-replies set forth in the Trial Practice Guide Update and must be filed no later than October 9, 2018.

FOR PETITIONER:

Richard LaCava
Michael Scarpati
ARENT FOX, LLP
richard.lacava@arentfox.com
michael.scarpati@arentfox.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Mitchell G. Stockwell
Matias Ferrario
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
mstockwell@kilpatricktownsend.com
mferrario@kilpatricktownsend.com