

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICROSOFT CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

PROXYCONN, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2012-00026 (TLG)  
Case IPR2013-00109 (TLG)  
Patent 6,757,717

---

THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

---

DECISION  
Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Case IPR2012-00026  
Case IPR2013-00109  
Patent 6,757,717

Petitioner Microsoft Corporation filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Salumeh R. Loesch. Paper 34. The motion is unopposed. The motion is GRANTED.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in this proceeding. *See* IPR2013-00010, Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission (Paper 6, Oct. 15, 2012).

In its motion, Petitioner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Ms. Loesch *pro hac vice* during this proceeding, because Ms. Loesch is an experienced litigating attorney with an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. In addition, the motion states that Ms. Loesch is counsel for Petitioner in related litigation between Petitioner and Patent Owner involving the same patent as this proceeding. Ms. Loesch had made a declaration attesting to, and sufficiently explaining, these facts. Ex. 1021. The declaration complies with the requirements set forth in the above Order.

Upon consideration, Petitioner has sufficiently demonstrated that Ms. Loesch has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding. Moreover, the Board recognizes that there is a need for Petitioner to have its related litigation counsel involved in this proceeding. Accordingly, Petitioner has also established that there is good

Case IPR2012-00026  
Case IPR2013-00109  
Patent 6,757,717

cause for admitting Ms. Loesch.

It is therefore

ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Salumeh R. Loesch for this proceeding is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for this proceeding; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Loesch is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R., and to be subject to the Office's Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

Case IPR2012-00026

Case IPR2013-00109

Patent 6,757,717

For Patent Owner

Matthew L. Cutler

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, PLC

mcutler@hdp.com

Bryan K. Wheelock

Harness, Dickey & Pierce, PLC

bwheelock@hdp.com

For Petitioner

John D. Vandenberg

Klarquist Sparkman LLP

john.vandenberg@klarquist.com

Stephen J. Joncus

Klarquist Sparkman LLP

stephen.joncus@klarquist.com