

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLEXITALLIC INVESTMENTS, INC.

Petitioner

v.

ERIKS N.V.

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 9,388,924

Filing Date: August 13, 2013

Issue Date: July 12, 2016

Title: ALKY-ONE GASKET

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.***

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	- 1 -
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(B)	- 1 -
	A. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	- 1 -
	B. RELATED MATTERS	- 1 -
	C. PAYMENT OF FEES	- 2 -
	D. DESIGNATION OF LEAD COUNSEL	- 2 -
	E. SERVICE INFORMATION	- 2 -
	F. POWER OF ATTORNEY	- 3 -
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	- 3 -
	A. GROUND FOR STANDING.....	- 3 -
	B. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE.....	- 3 -
	1. Claims Challenged	- 3 -
	2. Background of the Technology.....	- 3 -
	3. Prior Art	- 7 -
	C. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED	- 8 -
	1. Ground 1: Bond and the Knowledge in the Art.....	- 8 -
	2. Overview of the Challenged Claims	- 8 -
	3. Bond	- 9 -
	4. Knowledge of Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	- 10 -
	5. Ultimate Question	- 10 -
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '924 Patent	- 10 -
	A. PRIORITY DATE OF THE '924 Patent	- 10 -

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,388,924

B.	SUMMARY OF THE '924 Patent.....	- 11 -
C.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	- 14 -
D.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	- 14 -
1.	“inner zone”/“inner, low stress liquid sealing zone”	- 15 -
2.	“intermediate zone”/“intermediate portion”	- 17 -
3.	“outer sealing zone”/“outer, high stress, fire resistant sealing zone”	- 18 -
4.	“a minimum gasket stress level”	- 18 -
5.	“the gasket stress level”	- 19 -
V.	LEGAL STANDARDS	- 20 -
A.	Obviousness.....	- 20 -
VI.	FULL STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED	- 22 -
A.	GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1 -5 ARE UNPATENTABLE AS OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF BOND AND THE KNOWLEDGE OF ONE OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	- 22 -
1.	Brief Summary of Bond.....	- 22 -
2.	Brief Summary of Text and Drawings Specific to Bond II .	- 25 -
3.	Claim 1	- 27 -
4.	Claim 2	- 36 -
5.	Claim 3	- 39 -
6.	Claim 4	- 40 -
7.	Claim 5	- 46 -
8.	Claim Chart Showing Correspondence to the Prior Art	- 47 -
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	- 61 -

Table of Authorities

Cases

<i>Cuozzo Speed Tech., LLC v. Lee,</i> 136 S.Ct. 2131 (2016)	- 14 -
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City,</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	- 21 -
<i>In re Applied Materials, Inc.,</i> 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	- 30 -
<i>In re Kao,</i> 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	- 22 -
<i>Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.,</i> 587 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	- 21 -
<i>Santarus, Inc. v. Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.,</i> 694 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	- 22 -
<i>SIBIA Neurosciences, Inc. v. Cadus Pharmaceutical Corp.,</i> 225 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	- 21 -

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 100(i)	- 11 -
35 U.S.C. § 102	- 11 -
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1).....	- 7 -
35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(2).....	- 7 -, - 8 -
35 U.S.C. § 103	- 11 -
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	- 21 -
35 U.S.C. § 311	- 8 -
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(1).....	- 2 -

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 9,388,924

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)	- 3 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	- 14 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	- 3 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	- 3 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	- 3 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	- 14 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.15	- 2 -
37 C.F.R. § 42.24(a)(1)(i)	- 1 -
37 CFR § 42.6(a)(2)(ii)	- 1 -
37 CFR § 42.6(a)(2)(iii)	- 1 -

Rules

Changes to Implement <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Proceedings, Post-Grant Review Proceedings, and Transitional Program for Covered Business Method Patents, 77 Fed. Reg. 48699 (2012).....	- 14 -
--	--------

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.