

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TELESIGN CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

TWILIO INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-01976 (Patent 8,837,465 B2)
Case IPR2017-01977 (Patent 8,755,376 B2)¹

Before ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, KIMBERLY MCGRAW, and
SCOTT C. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Joint Motion for Modification of Default Protective Order
37 C.F.R. § 42.54

¹ This Order pertains to both of these cases. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue a single Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2017-01976 (Patent 8,837,465 B2)

IPR2017-01977 (Patent 8,755,376 B2)

I. INTRODUCTION

TeleSign Corporation (“Petitioner”) and Twilio Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Joint Motion for Modification of Default Protective Order. Paper 23² (“Motion” or “Mot.”). The parties request entry of a proposed Protective Order that differs from the Board’s default Protective Order. *Id.* at 2. The parties submit a clean version of the proposed Protective Order as Appendix A to the Motion and a redline version of the proposed Protective Order as Appendix B to the Motion. *Id.* at Appx. A, Appx. B. For the reasons discussed below, the Motion is *granted*.

II. ANALYSIS

The parties previously filed a joint motion for entry of a protective order. Paper 19, 2. We denied that motion without prejudice because of certain proposed modifications to Sections 4(A)(i), (ii) of the Board’s default Protective Order. *Id.* at 2–5. We explained that the parties may submit another joint motion for entry of a protective order with a proposed Protective Order that omits those specific proposed modifications to Sections 4(A)(i), (ii) of the default Protective Order, and also clarifies Section 3 of the proposed Protective Order (which adds a designation for Highly Confidential information) to indicate that the individuals identified in Sections 2(F), 2(G) shall have access to such information without the requirement to sign an Acknowledgement. *Id.* at 5–6. The parties’ current Motion includes a proposed Protective Order that is consistent with our previous instructions. Mot. 1–2, Appx. B. Therefore, after considering the

² We cite to the record of IPR2017-01976, unless otherwise noted.

IPR2017-01976 (Patent 8,837,465 B2)

IPR2017-01977 (Patent 8,755,376 B2)

Motion and the Appendices thereto, we hereby enter the Protective Order included as Appendix A to the Motion in the above-identified proceedings.

III. ORDER

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion (IPR2017-01976, Paper 23; IPR2017-01977, Paper 24) is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Protective Order included as Appendix A to the Motion (IPR2017-01976, Paper 23; IPR2017-01977, Paper 24) is entered in the above-identified proceedings.

IPR2017-01976 (Patent 8,837,465 B2)

IPR2017-01977 (Patent 8,755,376 B2)

PETITIONER:

Jesse J. Camacho

Elena K. McFarland

Christine Guastello

Mary J. Peal

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

jcamacho@shb.com

emcfarland@shb.com

cguastello@shb.com

telesignipr@shb.com

PATENT OWNER:

Wayne Stacy

Michelle Jacobson Eber

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

wayne.stacy@bakerbotts.com

michelle.eber@bakerbotts.com