1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
3	
4	x
5	CORPAK MEDSYSTEMS, INC. and HALYARD HEALTH, INC.,
6	
7	Petitioners,
8	vs.
9	KIRN MEDICAL DESIGN, L.L.C. and APPLIED MEDICAL
10	TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
11	Patent Owner.
12	racent owner.
13	x
14	Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2017-01990
15	
16	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE
17	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
18	HONORABLE PATRICK R. SCANLON
19	HONORABLE JAMES A. WORTH
20	HONORABLE JAMES J. MAYBERRY
21	January 8, 2018
22	2:00 p.m.
23	
24	Job No. 27313
25	Reported by: Carrie LaMontagne, CSR



1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	For the Petitioners:
3	RICHARD M. McDERMOTT, Esq. JITENDRA MALIK, PhD, Esq.
4	ALSTON & BIRD Bank of America Plaza
5	101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000 Charlotte, North Carolina 28280-4000
6	(704) 444-1000
7	
8	For the Patent Owner:
9	GREGORY M. YORK, PhD., ESQ. CHRISTOPHER T. META, ESQ.
10	PEARNE & GORDON LLP Ohio Savings Plaza
11	1801 East 9th Street, Suite 1200 Cleveland, OH 44114-3108
12	(216) 579-1700
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



JUDGE SCANLON: Good afternoon. 1 This is Judge Scanlon convening the call in IPR2017-01990. 2 3 Joining me on the line are Judges Worth and Mayberry. Let's start with the appearances. 4 5 Who is here for petitioner, please? MR. McDERMOTT: Your Honors, this is 6 Rick McDermott of Alston & Bird. I'm lead counsel 7 for the petitioners. With me also is Jitendra Malik, 8 9 back-up counsel for the petitioner, also with Alston 10 & Bird. 11 JUDGE SCANLON: All right. Thank you. 12 Is anyone on for patent owner or AMT? 13 MR. YORK: Yes, your Honors. This is 14 Gregory York, lead counsel for patent owners, 15 particularly exclusive licensee AMT. And on the line also is my colleague Chris Meta. 16 17 JUDGE SCANLON: Okay. Anyone else? Is 18 there a court reporter? 19 THE REPORTER: Yes. Carrie LaMontagne here 20 taking the record. 21 JUDGE SCANLON: Which party arranged for 22 the court reporter? 23 MR. McDERMOTT: The petitioners, your 24 Honor. This is Rick McDermott. 25 JUDGE SCANLON: All right. If you can at



your earliest convenience, when the transcript is ready, file that as an exhibit, that would be appreciated.

MR. McDERMOTT: Absolutely.

JUDGE SCANLON: Okay. So petitioner requested this call in order to discuss a possible lack of standing for AMT as exclusive licensee. So we'll start with you, petitioner, if you want to tell us what your thinking is on this.

MR. McDERMOTT: Sure. This is
Rick McDermott again. So an issue has come up with
respect to respondent AMT's potential lack of
standing to respond to the petition in this case on
its own. The patent owner with respect to the 715 is
Kirn Medical Design, LLC. That's is K-I-R-N. AMT is
an exclusive licensee.

The petitioners have examined and reviewed the license agreement between Kirn Medical and AMT and do not believe that that license agreement provides sufficient rights in the patent to AMT for AMT to represent this patent on its own in this proceeding.

There is a corresponding litigation in the northern district of Ohio involving the same patent, the 715 patent. In that case, rather than dispute the issue of standings, AMT has agreed to add Kirn



Medical Design, LLC as a party plaintiff for purposes of asserting the 715 patent.

In this case Kirn Medical Design, LLC has been identified as a real party in this interest, although only AMT filed the preliminary response. The preliminary response is filed only on behalf of AMT.

Also, there is in this case a document that was submitted by AMT with the mandatory disclosures. It is a consent document consenting to AMT's defense of the 715 patent by Kirn Medical, but there is no POA submitted by Kirn Medical. And given the nature of the license agreement, we're not certain that AMT might need more than just a consent document in order to represent the patent on its own and that Kirn may be required to participate as a patent owner.

The petitioner is agreeable to AMT submitting a revised POA and potentially an amended preliminary response identifying Kirn Medical. We're just not certain, given the certification, whether it was permissible at this point or required at this point.

JUDGE SCANLON: I guess, can you -- so the paper referred to -- I think it's paper five -- the authorization given by Kirn Medical, you said but there was no power of attorney.

Is it your position that that authorization by



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

