

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FITBIT, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACKBIRD TECH LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-02012
Patent 6,434,212

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	3
A.	About The ‘212 Patent	3
B.	Instituted Grounds	7
C.	Claim Construction.....	8
III.	THE STATUTES GOVERNING INTER PARTES REVIEW REQUIRE THAT THIS PROCEEDING BE DISMISSED.....	8
IV.	PETITIONER CANNOT SALVAGE ITS PETITION’S DEFICIENT CHALLENGES POST-INSTITUTION.....	14
V.	THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE NOT ANTICIPATED OR RENDERED OBVIOUS BY THE ASSERTED REFERENCES.....	16
A.	Ground 1: Amano Does Not Disclose “Programmed To Calculate A Distance Travelled By Multiplying A Number Of Steps Counted By The Step Counter By A Stride Length”	16
B.	Ground 2: Amano Does Not Render Obvious a Data Processor “Programmed To Calculate A Distance Travelled By Multiplying A Number Of Steps Counted By The Step Counter By A Stride Length”	21
C.	Ground 3: Neither Kato Nor Amano Discloses “Programmed To Calculate A Distance Traveled By Multiplying A Number Of Steps Counted By A Stride Length That Varies According To A Rate At Which Steps Are Taken”	25
D.	Ground 3: Petitioner Does Not Establish Motivation To Combine Kato’s Components With Amano’s Speed Calculation Feature To Teach “Programmed To Calculate A Distance Traveled By Multiplying A Number Of Steps Counted By A Stride Length That Varies According To A Rate At Which Steps Are Taken”	28
E.	Ground 3: Petitioner Does Not Establish Motivation To Combine Kato’s Components With Amano’s “Data Processing Steps” To Teach	

Deriving “An Actual Stride Length From A Range Of Stride Lengths
Calculated From A Range Of Corresponding Stride Rates”32

VI. RETROACTIVE APPLICATION OF INTER PARTES REVIEW ON PRE-
AIA PATENTS IS CONSTITUTIONALLY IMPERMISSIBLE40

VII. CONCLUSION.....41

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Berkovitz v. United States</i> , 486 U.S. 531 (1988).....	12
<i>Dell Inc. v. Accelaron, LLC</i> , 818 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	14
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Communications, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	22
<i>Karsten Mfg. Corp. v. Cleveland Golf Co.</i> , 242 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	38
<i>Oil States Energy Services, LLC v. Greene’s Energy Group, LLC</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1365 (2018).....	40
<i>Personal Web Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	31
<i>Reuters Ltd. v. FCC</i> , 781 F.2d 946 (D.C. Cir. 1986).....	11
<i>SAS Institute Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....	passim
<i>Securus Techs., Inc. v. Glob. Tel*Link Corp.</i> , 701 Fed. Appx. 971 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	31
<i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficos North America Corp.</i> , 299 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	40

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 282(a)	41
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	1, 8
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	13
35 U.S.C. § 314(b)	9, 13, 14

35 U.S.C. § 314(d)	11
35 U.S.C. § 316	12, 14
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)	9
35 U.S.C. § 316(b)	12
35 U.S.C. § 318(a)	13, 14
35 U.S.C. § 324(e)	11

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(a)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(b)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	10, 11
37 C.F.R. § 42.120	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)	14
37 C.F.R. § 42.5	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)	11

Other Authorities

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,612 (Aug. 14, 2012)	10
---	----

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.