UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. LTD., Petitioner,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC., Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2017-02183 Patent 8,279,991 B2

Before TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, DANIEL N. FISHMAN, and PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BOUCHER, Administrative Patent Judge.

DOCKE

ORDER Requests for Oral Argument 37 C.F.R. § 42.70

The date set for oral hearing in this proceeding is February 8, 2019, if hearing is requested by either party and granted by the Board. Paper 8, 8. Both parties request oral hearing. Papers 39, 41. The requests are *granted*.

IPR2017-02183 Patent 8,279,991 B2

Each side will have 60 minutes, total, to present its argument. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owner's claims at issue in this review are unpatentable. Accordingly, Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. After Petitioner's presentation, Patent Owner will respond to Petitioner's argument. Petitioner may reserve time to respond to Patent Owner's argument. Patent Owner may not reserve time.

The hearing will commence at 10 AM Eastern Time on February 8, 2019, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. At least one member of the panel may be attending the oral argument remotely by use of two-way audio-visual communication equipment. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are requested to contact the Board at least 10 days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

The parties are reminded that, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed. The parties shall meet and confer to discuss and resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits.

Any party with unresolved objections must file a list of those objections with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. For each objection, the list must identify with particularity, which portions of the

2

IPR2017-02183 Patent 8,279,991 B2

demonstrative exhibits are subject to the objection and may include a short, one-sentence statement explaining the objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits not timely presented will be considered waived.

Furthermore, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least seven business days before the hearing date. The parties shall also provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least two business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to <u>Trials@uspto.gov</u>. The parties shall *not* file any demonstrative exhibits in this proceeding without prior authorization from the Board. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing, but hard copies of the demonstratives are not needed for the judges.

The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2015) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. Demonstrative exhibits are not evidence and may not introduce new evidence or arguments. Instead, demonstrative exhibits should cite to evidence in the record. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present in person at the oral hearing. However, any counsel of record may present the party's argument. If either party expects that its lead counsel will not be attending

3

the oral argument, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio-visual equipment should be directed to <u>Trials@uspto.gov</u>. Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

IPR2017-02183 Patent 8,279,991 B2

PETITIONER

Joshua L. Goldberg E. Robert Yoches Rachel L. Emsley David C. Reese Yanbin Xu, Ph.D. Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com bob.yoches@finnegan.com rachel.emsley@finnegan.com david.reese@finnegan.com yanbin.xu@finnegan.com

Todd R. Tucker Mark W. McDougall Kyle T. Deighan Joshua A. Friedman Yizhou Liu Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP ttucker@calfee.com mmcdougall@calfee.com kdeighan@calfee.com jfriedman@calfee.com bliu@calfee.com

PATENT OWNER

Jon R. Carter Eugene Goryunov Michael W. De Vries Akshay S. Deoras KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP jon.carter@kirkland.com eugene.goryunov@kirkland.com michael.devries@kirkland.com akshay.deoras@kirkland.com



5