

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HYTERA COMMUNICATIONS CORP. LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2017-02183
Patent 8,279,991 B2

Before DANIEL N. FISHMAN and PATRICK M. BOUCHER,
Administrative Patent Judges.

FISHMAN, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION

*Granting Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of
Joshua M. Ryland
37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

Petitioner filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Joshua M. Ryland in this proceeding. Paper 29 (“Motion” or “Mot.”). Petitioner represents that Patent Owner does not oppose the Motion. Mot. 1. The Motion is *granted*.

In its Motion, Petitioner states that there is good cause to recognize Mr. Ryland during this proceeding because “Mr. Ryland is an experienced intellectual

property litigation attorney” having “more than fifteen years of patent litigation experience,” and because “Mr. Ryland is counsel for Hytera in several corresponding patent disputes relating to the subject matter the Petition, including counsel of record in the co-pending patent litigation matter, *Hytera Communications Corp. Ltd. v. Motorola Solutions, Inc.* (N.D. Ohio, Case No. 1-17-cv-01794).” Mot. 3. The Motion includes, as an exhibit, a Declaration made by Mr. Ryland, attesting to and sufficiently explaining these facts. Ex. 1021. In his Declaration, Mr. Ryland “agree[s] to be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq. and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).” Ex. 1021, 3.

Upon consideration, Petitioner has demonstrated adequately that Mr. Ryland has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion seeking admission *Pro Hac Vice* for Joshua M. Ryland is GRANTED;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner must file updated Mandatory Notices identifying Joshua M. Ryland as back-up counsel in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3);

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Ryland shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of 37 C.F.R., and is subject to the Office’s Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and;

Case IPR2017-02183
Patent 8,279,991 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel in this proceeding; Mr. Ryland is authorized to represent Petitioner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding.

Case IPR2017-02183
Patent 8,279,991 B2

PETITIONER:

Joshua L. Goldberg
Robert Yoches
Rachel L. Emsley
David C. Reese
Yanbin Xu, Ph.D.
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P
joshua.goldberg@finnegan.com
bob.yoches@finnegan.com
rachel.emsley@finnegan.com
david.reese@finnegan.com
yanbin.xu@finnegan.com

Todd R. Tucker
Mark W. McDougall
Joshua M. Ryland
Kyle T. Deighan
Joshua A. Friedman
Yizhou Liu
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
ttucker@calfee.com
mmcdougall@calfee.com
jryland@calfee.com
kdeighan@calfee.com
jfriedman@calfee.com
bliu@calfee.com

PATENT OWNER:

Jon R. Carter
Eugene Goryunov
Michael W. De Vries
Akshay S. Deoras
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
jon.carter@kirkland.com
eugene.goryunov@kirkland.com
michael.devries@kirkland.com
akshay.deoras@kirkland.com