RECORD No.: 2021-

In The

United States Court Of Appeals for The Federal Circuit

IN RE ESIP SERIES 2, LLC,

Petitioner,

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS TO THE— PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD IN INTER PARTES REVIEW, No. IPR2017-02197

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

Gordon K. Hill Alma J. Pate PATE BAIRD 36 West Fireclay Avenue Salt Lake City, UT 84107 (801) 284-7000

Counsel for Petitioner



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 1) July 2020

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Case Number)
Short Case Caption	In re ESIP Series 2, LLC	
Filing Party/Entity	ESIP Series 2, LLC	

Instructions: Complete each section of the form. In answering items 2 and 3, be specific as to which represented entities the answers apply; lack of specificity may result in non-compliance. Please enter only one item per box; attach additional pages as needed and check the relevant box. Counsel must immediately file an amended Certificate of Interest if information changes. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(b).

I certify the following information and any attached sheets are accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Date: 07/28/2021 Signature

Name: Gordon K. Hill

Na



FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 2) July 2020

1. Represented Entities. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(1).	2. Real Party in Interest. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(2).	3. Parent Corporations and Stockholders. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(3).
Provide the full names of all entities represented by undersigned counsel in this case.	Provide the full names of all real parties in interest for the entities. Do not list the real parties if they are the same as the entities.	Provide the full names of all parent corporations for the entities and all publicly held companies that own 10% or more stock in the entities.
☐ None/Not Applicable	☐ None/Not Applicable	☑ None/Not Applicable
ESIP Series 2, LLC	ESIP Series 1, LLC	
	Earl V. Sevy	
	Additional pages attach	ed

FORM 9. Certificate of Interest

Form 9 (p. 3) July 2020

4. Legal Representatives. List all law firms, partners, and associates that (a) appeared for the entities in the originating court or agency or (b) are expected to appear in this court for the entities. Do not include those who have already entered an appearance in this court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(4).				
☐ Additional pages attached				
A. John Pate	Pate Baird, PLLC			
·				
WP	**************************************			
5. Related Cases. Provide the case titles and numbers of any case known to be pending in this court or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. Do not include the originating case number(s) for this case. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5). See also Fed. Cir. R. 47.5(b).				
☑ None/Not Applicable ☐ Additional pages attached				
6. Organizational Victims and Bankruptcy Cases. Provide any information required under Fed. R. App. P. 26.1(b) (organizational victims in criminal cases) and 26.1(c) (bankruptcy case debtors and trustees). Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(6).				
☑ None/Not Applicable ☐ Additional pages attached				
	the originating court or age entities. Do not include the ris court. Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a			



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pag	e
CERTIF	FICATE OF INTEREST	
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	.i
TABLE	OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATE	MENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT	1
STATE	MENT OF THE ISSUES	1
BACKO	GROUND	1
ARGUN	MENT	4
A.	ESIP IS ENTITLED TO A WRIT OF MANDAMUS REQUIRING DIRECTOR REVIEW	4
В.	THE SUPREME COURT'S ARTHREX RULING REQUIRES THE OPPORTUNITY FOR DIRECTOR REVIEW OF DECISIONS MADE BY ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES	6
C.	THE INTERIM REGULATION IS INVALID	7
	The Interim Regulation Does Not Comply with the Statutory Requirements for Rule Making	8
	2. The Director's Statement that ESIP's Petition for Review is Untimely is Contrary to Constitutional Principles Articulated in <i>Arthrex</i>	9
CONCL	LUSION1	1
CERTIF	FICATE OF SERVICE1	2
CERTIF	FICATE OF COMPLIANCE1	3



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

